



City of Perth

## **Agenda Briefing Session**

19 May 2020

Agenda

Notice is hereby given that an Agenda Briefing Session of the City of Perth will be held via videoconference on Tuesday, 19 May 2020 at 5pm.

Mr Murray Jorgensen  
Chief Executive Officer  
15 May 2020

## **PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN AGENDA BRIEFING SESSIONS**

Welcome to this evening's Agenda Briefing Session. This information is provided on matters which may affect members of the public. If you have any queries on procedural matters, please contact a member of the City's Governance team via [governance@cityofperth.wa.gov.au](mailto:governance@cityofperth.wa.gov.au).

### **Presentations**

- Applications for presentations to an Agenda Briefing Session must be in writing to the CEO and sent to [governance@cityofperth.wa.gov.au](mailto:governance@cityofperth.wa.gov.au) by midday on the day of the meeting.
- If your application for a presentation is approved by the Presiding Member, the deputation will be read aloud by the Chief Executive Officer as the meeting will be held by videoconference.

Please refer to the City's website [www.perth.wa.gov.au](http://www.perth.wa.gov.au) for further information on making a presentation.

### **Disclaimer**

Members of the public should note that in any discussion regarding any planning or other application that any statement or intimation of approval made by any Commissioner or Officer of the City during the course of any meeting is not intended to be and is not to be taken as notice of approval from the City. No action should be taken on any item discussed at an Agenda Briefing Session prior to written advice on the resolution of the Council being received.

Any plans or documents contained in this agenda may be subject to copyright law provisions (*Copyright Act 1968*, as amended) and the express permission of the copyright owner(s) should be sought prior to their reproduction.

# Order of Business

## Item

1. Acknowledgement of Country/Prayer
2. Declaration of opening
3. Apologies
4. Disclosures of interest
5. Matters for which the meeting may be closed

Nil

6. Reports

Item No.	Item Title	Page No.
6.1	Final Adoption of Amendment No. 42 to City Planning Scheme No. 2 to Introduce a Special Control Area Over 1202 – 1204 Hay Street and 80 Colin Street, West Perth	X
6.2	Initiation of Amendment No. 43 to City Planning Scheme No. 2 to Introduce a Special Control Area over 707 (Lot 500) Murray Street, West Perth	X
6.3	Initiation of Amendment No. 44 to City Planning Scheme No. 2 to Introduce a Special Control Area over 707 (Lot 14) and 725 (Lot 101) Wellington Street, 482-484 (Lot 2) and 486-488 (Lot 1) and Lot 66 Murray Street, Perth	X
6.4	City of Perth Community Care Program	X
6.5	Review of Policy 18.13 Sponsorship and Grants	X
6.6	Policy – Motor Vehicle and Transport Services for the Lord Mayor	X
6.7	Council Policy Review	X
6.8	National Redress Scheme	X
6.9	Reserve Cancellation - Raine Square Redevelopment – 300 (Lot 1159 Reserve 46594) Wellington Street, Perth	X
6.10	Financial Contribution – Perth Concert Hall Temporary Works	X
6.11	Financial Activity Statement for the Period Ended 31 March 2020	X
6.12	Payments from Municipal Fund – April 2020	X
6.13	COVID-19 Recovery and Rebound Activities	X

7. Closure

## Item 6.1 – Final Adoption of Amendment No. 42 to City Planning Scheme No. 2 to Introduce a Special Control Area Over 1202 – 1204 Hay Street and 80 Colin Street, West Perth

<b>File reference</b>	P1038541#04
<b>Report author</b>	Stephanie Norgaard, Urban Planner
<b>Other contributors</b>	Siobhan Linehan, Acting Principal Planner Hannah Eames, Principal Heritage and Strategy
<b>Reporting Service Unit and Alliance</b>	City Planning, Planning and Economic Development
<b>Report author disclosure of interest</b>	Nil
<b>Date of report</b>	28 April 2020
<b>Nature of Council's role</b>	Legislative
<b>Voting requirement</b>	Simple Majority
<b>Attachment/s</b>	Attachment 6.1A – Scheme Amendment Report Attachment 6.1B – Heritage Place Record Attachment 6.1C – Response to Submissions

### Purpose

To consider Amendment No. 42 to the City Planning Scheme No. 2 (CPS2) for final approval, including consideration of the outcome of consultation.

### Background

The City received a landowner request to amend CPS2 to introduce a Special Control Area (SCA) over No. 1202 (Lot 50), No. 1204 (Lot 51) Hay Street and No. 80 (H65) Colin Street, West Perth.

Amendment No. 42 will allow the subject site to be treated as one site for the purposes of allocating plot ratio and tenant car parking. It will also enable the site to be eligible for a public facilities plot ratio bonus and introduce design objectives/criteria to guide the future development of the site.

A copy of the scheme amendment report is provided in Attachment 6.1A, which includes further details of the SCA provisions and the subject site context. A copy of the Heritage Place Record relating to No.1204 (Lot 1) Hay Street, Ross Memorial Church, is provided in Attachment 6.1B.

At its meeting held on 17 December 2019, Council resolved to approve the advertising of Amendment No. 42 in accordance with the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*.

## Details

### State Government Referral

Amendment No. 42 was referred to the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) in accordance with the requirements of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Scheme) Regulations 2015*. On 14 January 2020, the EPA advised that Amendment No. 42 would not be assessed under the Part IV of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*.

In accordance with clause 47(2)(c) of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Scheme) Regulations 2015*, consideration was given to other State authorities that may be affected by Amendment No. 42. In this case, no other State authority was considered to be impacted by the proposed scheme amendment. This is because the subject site is located in the local road network under the control of the City, there are no state heritage listed buildings within the SCA, and the SCA does not propose an intensity of development higher than already permitted under the approved planning scheme.

### Community Consultation

Amendment No. 42 was advertised for 42 days from the 20 February 2020 to 2 April 2020. The method of advertising included:

- 24 letters being sent to the surrounding landowners;
- A notice being published in the local newspaper (Western Suburbs Times) on 20 February 2020;
- Information being published on the City of Perth website; and
- Information being made available for viewing at the City of Perth Customer Service Centre.

At the conclusion of advertising, two submissions were received from the community. One submission was in support and the other submission was in objection. A further late submission in objection was received after the advertising period had concluded. All submissions have been considered in the assessment of Amendment No. 42.

The main matters raised during advertising included:

- Concerns the proposed SCA may have a detrimental impact on the viability of nearby shops, should an office or shop land use be pursued;
- Concerns the SCA will allow additional plot ratio to be used on No. 1202 Hay Street, which will result in excessive building height overshadowing to the adjoining lot; and
- Requests a structure plan is prepared to guide the development of the site.

A summary of the submissions received, along with Administration's and the applicant's response to the submissions is provided in Attachment 6.1C.

## Strategic alignment

### Strategic Community Plan

This item addresses the community's vision for the future and specifically the following aspiration and strategic objective contained in the Strategic Community Plan 2019 – 2029:

<b>Aspiration:</b>	Place
<b>Strategic Objective:</b>	2.6 Stimulating, functional and attractive design outcomes across all Developments.

Amendment No. 42 provides for the coordinated development of three lots and allows for greater flexibility in the development's design response. This aligns with the strategic objective of stimulating, functional and attractive design outcomes across all developments.

## Legal and statutory implications

- *Clauses 75, 81, 84 and 87 of the Planning and Development Act 2005* - which outline the process for amending a local planning scheme, including referrals to the EPA, advertising and adopting;
- *Regulations 47, 50 and 53 Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* – which outline the process for a standard amendment to a local planning scheme;
- *Clauses 3A of Schedule A (Supplemental Provisions) of the City Planning Scheme No. 2* – refers to amending Precinct Plans;
- *Clause 39 of the City of Perth City Planning Scheme No. 2* – refers to Special Control Areas;
- *Part 5 Clause 7 of the Heritage Act 2018* - proposals affecting places of heritage interest; and
- *Clause 12 Schedule 2 Part 3 Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* – variations to local planning scheme provisions for heritage purposes.

### Connection with mandates in the City of Perth Act 2016

8(1)(f) - to promote environmentally sustainable development, while ensuring Perth's role as a thriving business, cultural and entertainment centre, considering the flow-on impact to the Perth metropolitan area

## Risk implications

Impact of decision	
Organisation	Low
Community	Low

Risk domain	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk rating
People	Minor	Possible	Low
Legal and Regulatory/Ethical	Minor	Possible	Low

Reputation and External Stakeholders	Minor	Possible	Low
--------------------------------------	-------	----------	-----

As identified in the table above, the proposal has low risk implications.

## Approval implications

The Minister for Planning is responsible for final decisions on scheme amendments. Council is required to pass a resolution on Amendment No. 42 within 60 days of the conclusion of advertising, being 31 May 2020. Approval from the Western Australian Planning Commission is required to extend this timeframe. The applicant has no right of appeal.

## Financial implications

There are no financial implications related to this report. The applicant is responsible for the City's administration costs in assessing, advertising and finalising the scheme amendment documentation.

## Policy references

- West Perth Precinct Plan (P10) - provides a statement of intent/vision for development of the area;
- State Government's *Perth Parking Policy 2014* (PPP) - establishes the maximum number of commercial tenant car bays that can be located on a single lot. The PPP also restricts the sharing of commercial tenant bays across different lots;
- *State Planning Policy 3.5 Historic heritage conservation* – establishes objectives to ensure that heritage significance at both the State and local levels is given due weight in planning decision-making;
- Planning Policy Section 4.10 Heritage – establishes principles and policies applicable to development for all identified heritage places on the Heritage List; and
- Conservation Policies – site specific as contained in the *Conservation Management Plan for Uniting Church, Hocking Heritage Studio, 2012*.

## Comments

### Consideration of Submissions

#### *Land Use*

A submission raised concerns regarding the possibility of the site being developed for shop and office land uses. The submission considered any further development of these uses would impact the viability of existing retail and contribute to vacancy rates. Amendment No. 42 does not propose a specific land use for the SCA, although a supermarket is encouraged to be developed on the site

to service the local community. Commercial competition between similar land uses is not a planning matter and cannot be considered in the planning process. Noting the CPS2 does not have commercial floor spaces limits. Land use permissibility on the site will continue to be guided by CPS2 and assessed at the development application stage.

### *Building Bulk*

Concerns were raised that Amendment No. 42 would allow plot ratio to be concentrated on No. 1202 Hay Street, which would increase the building height and result in additional building bulk and overshadowing to the adjoining lot. Amendment No. 42 will allow plot ratio to be moved across the SCA with the ability to concentrate plot ratio on particular lots. However, the scheme amendment does not propose any variations to the existing height and setback standards of CPS2, which are used to mitigate building bulk and amenity impacts to adjoining lots.

Under CPS2, building height is managed through a 45-degree height plane, which tapers south to north across a site. There is no prescribed building height in terms of metres, however building height needs to be contained within the 45-degree height plane. Although Amendment No. 42 allows for the flexible distribution of plot ratio across the SCA, it does not allow development to encroach into the fixed 45-degree height plane.

Similarly, under CPS2, a nil side boundary setback is permitted for the first 14 metres of building height, with a setback between 3 metres and 8 metres required on the upper levels (dependent on the design and land use). These setback standards have been established to ensure appropriate separation between adjoining buildings. Amendment No. 42 does not propose any changes to the prescribed setback requirements.

The above-mentioned development standards will be applicable to the subject site whether the site is developed as three individual lots or as one SCA. Any variation to a CPS2 development standard would need to be considered through a development application, which would include a design review process and consultation with the impacted landowners and the community (with specific reference to heritage values associated with site).

### *Structure Plan*

The *Planning and Development (Local Planning Scheme) Regulations 2015* specifies that a structure plan may be prepared for land identified as requiring a structure plan through the local planning scheme (zoning or text) or a State planning policy. The subject site is not identified under the CPS2 or within any State planning policy as requiring a structure plan. As development standards, Scheme Use Areas and land use permissibilities are provided with the CPS2, there is no planning rationale for a structure plan being required.

## Planning Rational

### *Alignment with CPS2 and draft City Planning Strategy*

Amendment No. 42 will provide for the consolidated redevelopment of three individual lots, creating a larger and more regular shaped development site. The provision of coordinated development across the SCA will facilitate both commercial and residential growth. This is consistent with the draft City Planning Strategy (draft Strategy) and the West Perth Precinct Plan, which seeks to support the viability of the West Perth Activity Centre with residential and commercial uses.

Amendment No. 42 does not increase the base plot ratio currently available for development on the individual lots. However, it does provide more flexibility for plot ratio to be distributed differently across the lots. This allows the development to utilise its available plot ratio while also responding to the site characteristics and constraints. Similarly, the SCA does not increase the level of bonus plot ratio that can be afforded to the site, which remains capped at 20 percent, in accordance with CPS2.

The draft Strategy identifies the need to investigate planning mechanisms to encourage the development of public plaza and supermarket in the West Perth Activity Centre. Through enabling the site to be eligible for a public facilities bonus, the landowner is encouraged to provide a public plaza for the community. The SCA will also provide greater opportunities for large format commercial development (such as a supermarket), which is currently limited within the West Perth Town Centre due to the smaller land holdings.

### *Heritage*

The SCA accommodates the Ross Memorial Church which is a place of cultural heritage significance and listed on the CPS2 Heritage List. Accordingly, the SCA has included provisions to reinforce the existing requirements for the retention and conservation of the Ross Memorial Church, and for all future development to be designed with consideration to the cultural heritage significance of the church.

These provisions have been included to ensure development does not impact negatively on the documented cultural heritage values associated with the church, as described in the statement of significance and the policies outlined in the Conservation Plan. This is consistent with the West Perth Precinct Plan and the City's Heritage Policy 4.10, which envisions new developments being sympathetic to places of heritage significance.

Should the scheme amendment be approved by the Minister for Planning, a development and design process will need to be undertaken by the applicant, in consultation with City Officers, to facilitate appropriate development within the SCA. In addition to satisfying any relevant policies, the design of the future development is to have specific regard for the following heritage matters:

- The retention, where possible, or interpretation of fabric that might affect identified heritage values;

- Development to the north of the church that is of a scale that will not result in inappropriate overshadowing that will affect material conservation, damp and amenity of the heritage place;
- Development that is of a bulk, scale and materiality appropriate for the setting of the heritage place and does not negatively impact on views to and from the church; and
- Consideration of the development's relationship with the Graham Flats, located at No.1217 Hay Street, which is on the State Register of Heritage Places.

Further detail of the planning rational for Amendment No. 42 is provided in Attachment 6.1A and the Heritage Place Record is provided in Attachment 6.1B.

### Conclusion

Pursuant to Regulation 50(3) *Planning and Development (Local Planning Scheme) Regulations 2015*, Council is required to pass a resolution to either support the amendment without modification, support the amendment with modifications, or not support the amendment.

Amendment No. 42 is generally consistent with the statutory planning framework provided by CPS2 and the strategic vision for the Hay Street Activity Centre under the West Perth Precinct Plan and the draft Strategy. The concerns raised during advertising predominantly relate to detailed design matters, which will be managed through the development application process.

It is recommended Amendment No. 42 is supported without modification.

### **Recommendation**

That Council:

1. ADOPTS Amendment No. 42 without modification as per Attachment 6.1A, pursuant to Regulation 53(1) of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*;
2. FORWARDS Amendment No. 42 to City Planning Scheme No. 2 and any required documentation to the Western Australian Planning Commission within 21 days, pursuant to Regulation 53(3) of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*; and
3. ADOPTS the amended Precinct Plan as detailed in Attachment 6.1A – Scheme Amendment Report, pursuant to clause 3A of Schedule A (Supplemental Provisions) of City Planning Scheme No. 2, and subject to the gazettal of Amendment No. 42.

## Item 6.2 – Initiation of Amendment No. 43 to City Planning Scheme No. 2 to Introduce a Special Control Area over 707 (Lot 500) Murray Street, West Perth

<b>File reference</b>	P1039113#02
<b>Report author</b>	Edward O’Connell, Urban Planner - Policy
<b>Reporting Service Unit and Alliance</b>	City Planning, Planning and Economic Development
<b>Report author disclosure of interest</b>	Nil
<b>Date of report</b>	28 April 2020
<b>Nature of Council’s role</b>	Legislative
<b>Voting requirement</b>	Simple Majority
<b>Attachment/s</b>	Attachment 6.2A – Scheme Amendment Report Attachment 6.2B – Urbis Scheme Amendment Request Attachment 6.2C – Location Plan Attachment 6.2D – CPS2 Map Extract

### Purpose

The purpose of this report is for Council to consider initiation of Amendment No. 43 to City Planning Scheme No. 2 (CPS2) to create a Special Control Area over the subject site.

### Background

The City has received a landowner request to amend CPS2 to introduce Additional Uses as well as a Special Control Area (SCA) over No. 707 (Lot 500) Murray Street, West Perth (subject site).

A copy of the scheme amendment report is provided in Attachment 6.2A, which includes further details of the SCA provisions and the subject site context.

The proposed scheme amendment is intended to facilitate a supermarket-led mixed-use development on the subject site. This will be achieved by introducing additional land uses to permit the supermarket, an increased maximum plot ratio and objectives/design criteria to guide the future redevelopment of the site.

Note, the subject site was formerly used as a Princess Margaret Hospital staff car park. In June 2019, the State Government sold the site to Fabcot Pty Ltd, a subsidiary of Woolworths Group Limited. A State Government media release at the time of sale indicated that the future development of the site may include a shopping centre and residential apartments, providing valuable amenity for West Perth residents, while activating the site and encouraging further urban infill in the area.

The request for a scheme amendment submitted on behalf of the landowners is provided in Attachment 6.2B.

## Details

### Site Location and Context

The subject site comprises an area of 5,666m<sup>2</sup>, and is located between Thomas Street, Murray Street and Cook Street, West Perth, as shown in Attachment 6.2C.

### Proposal

The proposed scheme amendment will introduce the following provisions to CPS2:

- Retail (General) and Storage as contemplated use groups over the subject site;
- A SCA over the subject site with the following provisions;
  - Increased maximum plot ratio of 4.0 : 1.0 for a development incorporating a large supermarket subject to built form performance criteria;
  - Built form performance criteria for development incorporating a supermarket to allow a flexible approach to setbacks and landscaping requirements while ensuring the development positively contributes to the streetscapes and locality generally; and
- Removing the word 'permitted' from the Additional Use Table in Schedule 5 of CPS2.

### Local Planning Context

The subject site is located within the Office/Residential Use Area under CPS2 where both Retail (General) and Storage are prohibited use groups. The subject site has a maximum plot ratio limit of 2.0 : 1.0 for Residential and Special Residential use groups, and 1.33 : 1.0 for all other use groups. Additionally, a maximum building height of 29 metres is prescribed. An extract of the CPS2 Scheme Map is provided in Attachment 6.2D.

The proposed SCA aligns with the draft City Planning Strategy (Strategy) which designate the site as a growth area and identifies a strategic need for a supermarket within West Perth.

Further detail on the proposal's alignment with the local planning context is provided in Attachment 6.2A.

### Stakeholder engagement

Community consultation will occur subject to the initiation of the scheme amendment by the Council in accordance with the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Scheme) Regulations 2015*.

## Strategic alignment

### Strategic Community Plan

This item addresses the community's vision for the future and specifically the following Aspiration and Strategic Objective(s) contained in the Strategic Community Plan 2019 – 2029:

<b>Aspiration:</b>	Place
<b>Strategic Objective:</b>	2.9 Land use, transport and infrastructure planning that encourages and facilitates a wide range of public and private sector investment and development.

The scheme amendment provides land use and built form provisions to achieve a high quality development which is aligned with the City's strategic vision for West Perth.

## Legal and statutory implications

- *Clauses 75, 81, and 84 of the Planning and Development Act 2005* - which outline the process for amending a local planning scheme, including referrals to the EPA and advertising;
- *Regulations 34 and 35(2) of the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* – assists to determine whether the amendment is basic, standard or complex; and
- *Regulation 67 of Schedule 2 of the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* – relating to matters to be considered by local government when considering an application for development approval.

### Connection with mandates in the City of Perth Act 2016

8(1)(f) - to promote environmentally sustainable development, while ensuring Perth's role as a thriving business, cultural and entertainment centre, considering the flow-on impact to the Perth metropolitan area

## Risk implications

Impact of decision	
Organisation	Low
Community	Low

Risk domain	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk rating
People	Minor	Possible	Low
Legal and Regulatory/Ethical	Minor	Possible	Low
Reputation and External Stakeholders	Minor	Possible	Low

As identified in the table above, the proposal has low risk implications.

## **Approval implications**

The decision whether to initiate a scheme amendment rests with the Council. Should Commissioners resolve not to initiate the scheme amendment, the applicant has no right of appeal for the decision. The Minister Planning is responsible for final approval of scheme amendments.

## **Financial implications**

There are no financial implications related to this report. The applicant is responsible for Administration's costs in assessing, advertising and finalising the scheme amendment documentation.

## **Policy references**

West Perth Precinct Plan (P10) - provides a statement of intent/vision for development of the area.

## **Comments**

### Scheme Amendment Classification

The *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* include three categories for amending Local Planning Schemes - basic, standard and complex. The proposal is considered to be a standard amendment as it will have minimal impact on the locality outside of the subject site and will not result in any significant environmental, social, economic or governance impacts.

### Planning Assessment

Rationale for the proposed scheme provisions is provided below and a detailed planning justification is provided in Attachment 6.2A.

#### *Additional Use – Retail General*

The proposed addition of Retail (General) as a contemplated use group on the subject site is considered appropriate for the following reasons:

- The draft Strategy has identified a strategic need for a supermarket in West Perth;
- There are limited sites within the Town Centre that would be suitable for a supermarket;
- The site is well positioned for access by the vehicular traffic generated by a supermarket; and
- Appropriate pedestrian connections could be facilitated over time between the subject site and the adjoining Town Centre.

### *Additional Use - Storage*

The development vision for the site includes an e-store used for the packing of goods for online orders. Because the e-store involves a delivery component, with no physical retail transaction made on site, it may be inconsistent with the definition of Retail (General). The applicant has therefore requested that Storage be included as an additional contemplated use where operated in combination with Retail (General).

### *Plot Ratio*

A maximum plot ratio of 4.0 : 1.0 for development incorporating a full-sized supermarket with high quality design is considered appropriate for the following reasons:

- Development under the existing 1.33 : 1.0 maximum plot ratio would fail to capitalise on the unique redevelopment opportunity that the site presents;
- An increased maximum plot ratio would allow integrated land uses surrounding and above the supermarket to create a vibrant mixed-use hub to activate the locality;
- Strategic design principles and maximum building heights will ensure that a 4.0 : 1.0 supermarket-led development is integrated with the character of the locality;
- The increased plot ratio is linked to the delivery of a supermarket, which the City has identified as a strategic need; and
- The Strategy identifies the site as a 'Growth Area', where maximum plot ratios are highly likely to be increased under a new planning scheme.

### *Design Principles and Built Form*

Accommodating a full-line supermarket on the L-shaped site creates design challenges and some variation to the applicable setback and landscaping provisions is likely to be sought by the landowner at development application stage. The SCA envisages variations and provides additional guidance to clearly indicate that they will only be supported as part of high-quality design that positively contributes to the site and the surrounding locality.

### Conclusion

The proposed scheme amendment proposes land use and built form variations to facilitate a high-quality supermarket-led development on a unique site. This is consistent with the City's strategic vision for West Perth and therefore the proposed scheme amendment is considered suitable for initiation.

## Recommendation

That Council:

1. INITIATES Amendment No. 43 to the City Planning Scheme No. 2, as detailed in Attachment 6.2A – Scheme Amendment Report and pursuant to section 75 of the *Planning and Development Act 2005* (the Act);
2. RESOLVES that Amendment No. 43 to the City Planning Scheme No. 2 is a standard amendment pursuant to regulations 34 and 35(2) of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* (the Regulations), for the following reasons:
  - 2.1 the amendment would have minimal impact on land in the scheme area that is not the subject of the amendment; and
  - 2.2 the amendment does not reflect in any significant environmental, social, economic or governance impacts on land in the scheme area.
3. FORWARDS Amendment No. 43 to the City Planning Scheme No. 2 to the Environmental Protection Authority pursuant to section 81 of the Act; and
4. ADVERTISE Amendment No. 43 to the City Planning Scheme No. 2 for public inspection pursuant to section 84 of the Act, and in accordance with regulation 47 of the Regulations.

## Item 6.3 – Initiation of Amendment No. 44 to City Planning Scheme No. 2 to Introduce a Special Control Area over 707 (Lot 14) and 725 (Lot 101) Wellington Street, 482-484 (Lot 2) and 486-488 (Lot 1) and Lot 66 Murray Street, Perth

<b>File reference</b>	P1039117#02
<b>Report author</b>	Georgia Lilley, Urban Planner – Strategy
<b>Other contributors</b>	Siobhan Linehan, Actin Principal Urban Planner – Policy
<b>Reporting Service Unit and Alliance</b>	City Planning, Planning and Economic Development
<b>Report author disclosure of interest</b>	Nil
<b>Date of report</b>	29 April 2020
<b>Nature of Council’s role</b>	Legislative
<b>Voting requirement</b>	Simple Majority
<b>Attachment/s</b>	Attachment 6.3A – Scheme Amendment Report Attachment 6.3B – Location Plan Attachment 6.3C – Planning Maps

### Purpose

The purpose of this report is for Council to consider the initiation of Amendment No. 44 to City Planning Scheme No. 2 (CPS2) to introduce a Special Control Area over the subject site.

### Background

The City has received a landowner request to amend CPS2 to introduce a Special Control Area (SCA) over 707 (Lot 14) and 725 (Lot 101) Wellington Street, 482-484 (Lot 2) and 486-488 (Lot 1) and Lot 66 Murray Street, Perth.

A copy of the scheme amendment report is provided in Attachment 6.3A, which includes further details of the SCA provisions and the subject site context. A location plan is also provided in Attachment 6.3B.

### Development Approval

The Perth Local Development Assessment Panel (LDAP) granted conditional approval to a mixed-use development over the subject site on 20 February 2020. Condition 9 states:

*‘The subject lots being amalgamated into one lot on one Certificate of Title or alternatively a Special Control Area being established over the site to enable the applicable development standards and requirements to be applied to the site as though it was a single lot, and being initiated prior to the building permit stage and finalised prior to occupation of the buildings.’*

## Details

The subject site comprises of five lots with a total area 9,850m<sup>2</sup> and is located within the 'City Centre' Scheme Use Area under CPS2 as shown in Attachment 6.3C.

The proposed scheme amendment will introduce a SCA over the subject site to facilitate the coordinated redevelopment of the subject site. It will also introduce provisions to allow the five separate lots to be treated as one site for the purposes of calculating the maximum bonus plot ratio, and for the calculation and distribution of car parking across the site.

### Perth Parking Policy 2014

The subject site is located within the Perth Parking Management Plan Area and is subject to the Perth Parking Policy 2014 (PPP). The PPP establishes the maximum number of commercial tenant car bays that can be located on a single lot. The PPP also restricts the sharing of commercial tenant bays across different lots.

## Stakeholder engagement

Community consultation will occur subject to the initiation of the scheme amendment by the Council in accordance with the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Scheme) Regulations 2015*.

## Strategic alignment

### Strategic Community Plan

This item addresses the community's vision for the future and specifically the following Aspiration and Strategic Objective(s) contained in the Strategic Community Plan 2019 – 2029:

<b>Aspiration:</b>	Prosperity
<b>Strategic Objective:</b>	4.6 Precincts that utilise their unique sense of place, local pride and enthusiasm to encourage investment and economic activity.

The proposal SCA will ensure that the whole site is developed in the form of a high-quality mixed-use development.

## Legal and statutory implications

- *Clauses 75, 81, and 84 of the Planning and Development Act 2005* - which outline the process for amending a local planning scheme, including referrals to the EPA and advertising;
- *Regulations 34 and 35(2) of the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* – assists to determine whether the amendment is basic, standard or complex;

- *Clauses 3A of Schedule A (Supplemental Provisions) of the City Planning Scheme No. 2* – refers to amending Precinct Plans; and
- *Clause 39 of the City of Perth City Planning Scheme No. 2* – refers to Special Control Areas.

Connection with mandates in the *City of Perth Act 2016*

8(1)(f) - to promote environmentally sustainable development, while ensuring Perth's role as a thriving business, cultural and entertainment centre, considering the flow-on impact to the Perth metropolitan area

### Risk implications

Impact of decision	
Organisation	Low
Community	Low

Risk domain	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk rating
Reputation and External Stakeholders	Minor	Unlikely	Low
People	Minor	Unlikely	Low
Service Delivery/Strategic Objectives	Minor	Unlikely	Low

### Approval implications

The decision whether to initiate a scheme amendment rests with the Council. Should Commissioners resolve not to initiate the scheme amendment, the applicant has no right of appeal for the decision. The Minister Planning is responsible for final approval of scheme amendments.

### Financial implications

There are no financial implications related to this report. The applicant is responsible for the City's Administration costs in assessing, advertising and finalising the scheme amendment documentation.

### Policy references

State Government Policies

State Government's Perth Parking Policy 2014 (PPP) - establishes the maximum number of commercial tenant car bays that can be located on a single lot. The PPP also restricts the sharing of commercial tenant bays across different lots.

## Local Policy

Citiplace Precinct Plan (P5) - provides a statement of intent/vision for development of the area.

## **Comments**

### Scheme Amendment Classification

The *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* include three categories for amending Local Planning Schemes - basic, standard and complex. The proposed amendment will have minimal impact on the CPS2 area outside of the proposed SCA. Additionally, the amendment does not result in any significant environmental, social, economic or governance impacts. Given this, the amendment is considered to be a standard amendment.

### Planning Assessment

The SCA will facilitate the coordinated development of the five lots. In turn, this will enable the proposed redevelopment of the site to proceed in compliance with CPS2 and accordance with condition 9 of the development approval.

A SCA will enable the whole site to be developed in the form of a high-quality mixed-use development. The SCA will assist the City to meet its objectives in that it will:

- Support short stay accommodation in the city through the refurbishment of the hotel;
- Provide for additional residents within the city;
- Cater for the diversity of demands, interests and lifestyles by facilitating and encouraging the provision of a wide range of choices in housing, business, employment, leisure, visitor accommodation and attractions; and
- Co-ordinate and ensure that development is carried out in an efficient and responsible manner which makes optimum use of the City's growing infrastructure and resources.

## Conclusion

The proposed scheme amendment is generally consistent the statutory planning framework provided by CPS2 and the strategic vision for the Central Perth Neighbourhood and draft City Planning Strategy. Given this, the proposed scheme amendment is considered suitable for initiation.

## **Recommendation**

That Council:

1. INTIATES Amendment No. 44 to the City Planning Scheme No. 2 as detailed in Attachment 6.3A – Scheme Amendment Report and pursuant to section 75 of the *Planning and Development Act 2005* (the Act);

2. RESOLVES that Amendment No. 44 to the City Planning Scheme No. 2 is a standard amendment pursuant to regulations 34 and 35(2) of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* (the Regulations), for the following reasons:
  - 2.1 the amendment would have minimal impact on land in the scheme area that is not the subject of the amendment;
  - 2.2 the amendment does not reflect in any significant environmental, social, economic or governance impacts on land in the scheme area;
3. FORWARDS Amendment No. 44 to the City Planning Scheme No. 2 to the Environmental Protection Authority, pursuant to section 81 of the Act; and
4. ADVERTISE Amendment No. 44 to the City Planning Scheme No. 2 for public inspection pursuant to section 84 of the Act, and in accordance with regulation 47 of the Regulations.

## Item 6.4 – City of Perth Community Care Program

<b>File reference</b>	84581/20 P1039134#07
<b>Report author</b>	Bek Slavin, Community Development Coordinator
<b>Other contributors</b>	Emma Landers, Alliance Manager Community Services
<b>Reporting Service Unit and Alliance</b>	Community Services, Community Development
<b>Report author disclosure of interest</b>	Nil
<b>Date of report</b>	1 May 2020
<b>Nature of Council's role</b>	Information
<b>Voting requirement</b>	Simple Majority
<b>Attachment/s</b>	Attachment 6.4A – City of Perth Community Care Plan

### Purpose

To seek endorsement of the City of Perth Community Care Plan which articulates the City's initiatives to support vulnerable people and its community in response, recovery and rebound of Covid-19.

### Background

Impacts from the Covid-19 pandemic on the world's economy has been well noted and significant action has been undertaken across all levels of Government in response. The City of Perth, and indeed all local authorities, are well placed to mobilise community support and action, especially for those most vulnerable in our community. The City is committed to doing its part to flatten the infection curve to minimise the impact on the State's health system and to support members of its community for the duration.

The full extent of the medium and long terms impacts of Covid-19 is unknown and will change as the pandemic develops. The health directives to manage Covid-19 such as social distancing have had social, health and wellbeing impacts on all members of our community. These directives are important in protecting those who are most vulnerable in our community, like older adults and immunocompromised people.

Vulnerable groups of people are those that are disproportionately exposed to risk, but who is included in these groups can change dynamically. A person not considered vulnerable at the outset of a pandemic can become vulnerable depending on a government's policy response, access to services and better understanding of the disease. The risks of sudden loss of income or access to social support have consequences that are difficult to estimate and constitute a challenge in identifying all those who might become vulnerable.

The City of Perth has a role in responding to these impacts to meet the needs of the community and play an active role in supporting their health and wellbeing.

The City of Perth Community Care Plan sits within the Response and Relief phase of the City's Covid-19 Strategy. It is anticipated actions identified within this plan will be for an initial period of up to eight months and will be considered during the development of the City's Covid-19 Recovery and Rebound strategy. The future approach to addressing the needs of our vulnerable people will be articulated in the City's Community Recovery and Rebound Plans.

## **Details**

The City of Perth Community Care Plan intends to build on the work of the State Emergency Welfare Plan and associated taskforces reducing duplication and identifying gaps where the City can add value to the collaborative effort.

The objectives and principles of the City of Perth Community Care Plan will ensure the City will provide:

### **Connection and Access to Services**

The City will monitor the impact of Covid-19 pandemic on our community and respond to the needs of vulnerable people through connection to appropriate services and supports.

### **Information to community**

The City will provide community with current and relevant information about support services which meets their needs during Covid-19 and promotes public health awareness.

### **Collaboration with key stakeholders**

The City will provide current qualitative and quantitative data on the current and emerging needs of our community, which will in turn inform the Community Recovery Plan as part of the City's recovery and rebound phases. The City will also provide relevant information and support to key stakeholders, particularly community organisations focused on vulnerable members of community during Covid-19.

### **Identify the gaps**

The City will identify emerging trends and gaps in service delivery for the unique needs of vulnerable people during Covid-19. The City will advocate for community as emerging issues and needs arise and may consider directly delivering needed services.

### **Build capacity**

The City will be responsive and innovative by developing approaches to support the community and meeting their needs.

The City of Perth Community Care Plan in Attachment 6.4 outlines the key actions the City of Perth will deliver to support vulnerable people. The key actions identified include:

- Establish a vulnerable person's database;

- Establish a new contact service to coordinate and connect community to services and supports (online and telephone);
- Establish Covid-19 Quick Response Community Grants;
- Establish a Perth Online platform focused on the social, health and wellbeing needs of our community;
- Expand assertive outreach services in the City of Perth;
- Continue to coordinate goodwill and community groups providing services to people rough sleeping with the city;
- Advocate to WALGA and all Vulnerable Cohort Taskforces on the gaps in service delivery for identified needs.
- Work collaboratively with the Department of Communities and the homelessness services sector to secure appropriate accommodation for people sleeping rough during the crisis;
- Establish a Communications Plan;
- Work collaboratively with Volunteering WA and the community sector to support volunteering opportunities;
- Expansion of Safe City Patrols;
- Continue to provide Childcare Services to essential workers.

The City of Perth Library is an important resource for community during Covid-19, particularly supporting people of all ages to engage in continued education, relationships and community. To support the Community Care Plan the City of Perth Library will be providing new temporary services throughout the pandemic. These services will be available to City of Perth residents, giving priority to people over 60 or those who are self-isolating as they are at risk and will include access the ground floor express computers for purposes of checking email, signing into Centrelink and other support services, a home delivery service and access to an extensive range of online resources.

## Stakeholder engagement

Stakeholders across various sectors have been engaged to understand impact of Covid-19 vulnerable people through a number of established working groups and taskforces, either established by the City of Perth or the state government.

## Strategic alignment

### Strategic Community Plan

This item addresses the community's vision for the future and specifically the following Aspiration and Strategic Objective(s) contained in the Strategic Community Plan 2019 – 2029:

<b>Aspiration:</b>	People
<b>Strategic Objective:</b>	<p>1.2 Timely and contemporary community infrastructure and services.</p> <p>1.3 Accessible and relevant community support services and playing a leading role with homelessness.</p> <p>1.8 A community that is healthy in its lifestyle and wellbeing, and generous towards helping others.</p>

<b>Aspiration:</b>	Performance
<b>Strategic Objective:</b>	5.8 Service levels that exceed customer expectation.

## Legal and statutory implications

Connection with mandates in the *City of Perth Act 2016*

8(1)(d) - to continuously improve the services and facilities provided to the community, visitors and tourists

## Risk implications

Impact of decision	
Organisation	Medium
Community	Medium

Risk domain	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk rating
People	Moderate	Possible	Medium
Reputation and External Stakeholders	Moderate	Possible	Medium
Service Delivery/Strategic Objectives	Minor	Possible	Low

## Approval implications

There are no approval implications related to this report.

## Financial implications

Within existing budget

The financial implications associated with this report are fully accommodated within the existing 2019/20 budget.

<b>Account number:</b>	1075 51090 0000 7901
<b>Description:</b>	Community Development
<b>Account type (Operating/Capital/Reserve):</b>	Operating
<b>Current budget:</b>	\$106,000

<b>Account number:</b>	1075 70120 0000 7251
<b>Description:</b>	Homelessness
<b>Account type (Operating/Capital/Reserve):</b>	Operating
<b>Current budget:</b>	\$50,000

## Policy references

There are no policy references related to this report.

## Comments

The City of Perth Community Care Plan has been developed to support vulnerable people and the organisations who provide essential services. The City has a pivotal role to support vulnerable cohorts in the community. The City will work collaboratively with organisation's and stakeholders and where required investigate opportunities to meet service delivery gaps through advocacy.

Many of the actions identified within the Community Care Plan are operational and have been implemented or have received Council endorsement via a separate report, such as the Covid-19 Quick Response Community Grants, which were endorsed by Council at its April meeting.

### Recommendation

That Council ENDORSE the City of Perth Community Care Plan in response to the Covid-19 pandemic.

## Item 6.5 – Review of Policy 18.13 Sponsorship and Grants

<b>File reference</b>	P1005611-3
<b>Report author</b>	Virginia Withers, Senior Sponsorship Officer
<b>Other contributors</b>	Nil
<b>Reporting Service Unit and Alliance</b>	Activation and Cultural Experience, Community Development
<b>Report author disclosure of interest</b>	Nil
<b>Date of report</b>	28 April 2020
<b>Nature of Council's role</b>	Executive
<b>Voting requirement</b>	Simple Majority
<b>Attachment/s</b>	Attachment 6.5A – Updated Policy 18.13 Sponsorship and Grants

### **Purpose**

To review Policy 18.13 Sponsorship and Grants under the City's Policy Framework.

### **Background**

The City's Policies are reviewed as per the timeline detailed in the City's Policy Framework.

Policy 18.13 was last reviewed by Council at the Ordinary Council Meeting held on 19 December 2017 and is required to be reviewed every two years.

The City's Policy Framework outlines the process for policy development and review. The Policy Framework process was developed concurrently with a review of Policy 18.13. At a Commissioner Briefing Session on 3 December 2019, City officers presented a Briefing Paper to guide the discussion on the current situation, available approaches, risks and issues.

Following feedback provided at the Commissioner Briefing Session, the policy has been reviewed to ensure a consistent, equitable, transparent and efficient framework for the administration of grants and sponsorship at the City of Perth.

As per the Policy Framework, following Council approval on the draft policy, it will be made available for public comment through a 21 day community consultation period.

### **Details**

The proposed changes to the Policy are detailed in Attachment 6.5A – Updated Policy 18.13 Sponsorship and Grants.

The purpose of the proposed changes are to:

- Remove all internal Procedure from the Policy to ensure that Policy is succinct, appropriate and relevant. A separate Procedure will be created to define and guide all internal processes;
- Clarify that the City of Perth will support project funding only and will not support recurrent funding, wages and salaries or administrative overheads;
- Clarify that an applicant must demonstrate financial viability without the City's funding (an individual event or project may not be viable without the City's support, however the organisation must be); and
- State the City of Perth's position in regards to the Canvassing of Elected Members by grant and sponsorship applicants.

## Stakeholder engagement

No formal stakeholder engagement has been undertaken in relation to this report.

## Strategic alignment

### Strategic Community Plan

This item addresses the community's vision for the future and specifically the following Aspiration and Strategic Objective(s) contained in the Strategic Community Plan 2019 – 2029:

<b>Aspiration:</b>	Performance
<b>Strategic Objective:</b>	5.6 Decision-making that is ethical, informed and inclusive.

## Legal and statutory implications

Section 2.7 of the *Local Government Act 1995* provides that it is the role of Council to determine the local government's policies.

### Connection with mandates in the *City of Perth Act 2016*

8(1)(a) - to provide for the good government of persons in the City of Perth, including residents, ratepayers and visitors

## Risk implications

Impact of decision	
Organisation	Low
Community	Low

Risk domain	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk rating
Legal and Regulatory/Ethical	Insignificant	Unlikely	Low

## Approval implications

If the policy is adopted, all future grants and sponsorships will be administered in accordance with the Policy. The key impacts are on any existing principal partnership arrangements, or any other instance of the City providing recurrent funding, or funding for wages and salaries or administrative overheads.

## Financial implications

There are no financial implications related to this report.

## Policy references

The policy has been reviewed in accordance with the City's Policy Framework Policy. Commissioner engagement in the Policy review was commenced at a Commissioner Briefing Session on 3 December 2019.

The reviewed Policy has been drafted to reflect Commissioner feedback.

## Comments

The updated Policy provides a consistent, equitable and transparent framework for the best practice and contemporary administration of grants and sponsorships at the City of Perth.

### Recommendation

That Council:

1. ENDORSES the changes to draft Policy 18.13 Grants and Sponsorship as detailed in Attachment 6.5A; and
2. APPROVES a 21-day community consultation on the draft Policy 18.13 Grants and Sponsorship as detailed in Attachment 6.5A.

## Item 6.6 – Policy – Motor Vehicle and Transport Services for the Lord Mayor

<b>File reference</b>	P1028787-5
<b>Report author</b>	Gary Clark, Acting Alliance Manager Governance
<b>Other contributors</b>	Bill Parker, General Manager Corporate Services
<b>Reporting service unit and alliance</b>	Governance, Corporate Services
<b>Report author disclosure of interest</b>	Nil
<b>Date of report</b>	3 March 2020
<b>Nature of Council's role</b>	Executive
<b>Voting requirement</b>	Simple Majority
<b>Attachment/s</b>	Attachment 6.6A – Motor Vehicle and Transport Services for the Lord Mayor

### Purpose

The purpose of this report is for Council to consider approval to undertake public consultation on a draft Council Policy about motor vehicle and transport services for the Lord Mayor.

### Background

Council made the following decision at the Ordinary Council Meeting on 25 February 2020;

“That the Chief Executive Officer:

1. DEVELOP a draft Council Policy on the provision of a motor vehicle and transport services for the Lord Mayor; and
2. PRESENT the draft Council Policy to the Ordinary Council Meeting on 31 March 2020.”

### Details

Chair Commissioner Hammond provided the following comments supporting the mentioned Council resolution:

1. In order for the Lord Mayor to undertake their role effectively, efficiently and in line with community expectation, a Council Policy is required to provide guidance and transparency on the provision of transport services; and
2. The transport arrangements for State Government Ministers could be used as a benchmark to guide transport arrangements for the Lord Mayor.

The principles of effectiveness, efficiency and consistency with community expectations and industry practice have guided the draft policy.

The community may expect that the vehicle and travel arrangements available to the Lord Mayor would reflect an appropriate level of dignity and respect for the role. The Chair Commissioner's suggestion that the transport arrangements for State Government Ministers be used as a benchmark should align with community expectations. The public consultation process will clarify this assumption.

### Research

The travel arrangements vary considerably for Lord Mayors in other Australian capital cities. The variance in the arrangements could be influenced by the size of the City and the legislation in each State.

The Department of the Premier and Cabinet provided information about the motor vehicle and transport arrangements for State Government Ministers. This information has informed the policy considerations.

### Policy Considerations

#### *Travel Requirements*

The Lord Mayor frequently requires vehicle transport within the City of Perth and neighbouring suburbs. There is occasional travel to outer metropolitan areas. There is rarely any requirement to drive outside of the metropolitan area.

The purpose of the travel is diverse. It ranges from short meetings to functions and significant ceremonial events. Travel requirements can change if the Lord Mayor's presence is required at short notice.

The travel times can be outside of office hours including evenings and weekends.

#### *The Vehicle Requirements*

It appears that it is accepted industry practice for the Lord Mayor to be provided with a vehicle. The draft policy is intended to ensure that a vehicle is fit for purpose and within community expectations.

The Lord Mayor's vehicle and transport requirements may vary with the individual priorities of the incumbent. It may be that the Lord Mayor prefers to use their own vehicle and the City would not need to purchase a vehicle. In this case the Lord Mayor will be entitled to claim a mileage allowance.

The Lord Mayor should have the ability to choose alternative transport arrangements provided they are more cost effective than the policy provisions.

#### *Personal Use*

The Lord Mayor's role requires attendance at after-hours meetings and functions. It is proposed that the vehicle be provided for official purposes and commuting to and from Council House..

#### *The Driver and Executive Support Requirements*

The provision of a driver or chauffeur for the Lord Mayor of a Capital City varies across Australia.

Where a civic or ceremonial function is scheduled, , it may be more efficient to engage a chauffeur or charter service..

Taxi or ride share services could be utilised for any unscheduled after-hours transport requirements.

### *Parking Requirements*

It will be necessary to provide a parking permit to the Lord Mayor to facilitate the frequent amount of travel within the City of Perth. This would be more efficient than requiring the Lord Mayor to pay for parking and then seek reimbursement.

A parking space is currently set aside at Council House for the Lord Mayor's vehicle and the draft Council Policy proposes that this continue to be provided.

## **Stakeholder engagement**

There has been no external stakeholder engagement undertaken in relation to this report. If the draft policy is endorsed, it will be subject to a public consultation period of 21 days.

## **Strategic alignment**

### Strategic Community Plan

This item addresses the community's vision for the future and specifically the following Aspiration and Strategic Objective(s) contained in the Strategic Community Plan 2019 – 2029:

<b>Aspiration:</b>	Performance
<b>Strategic Objective:</b>	5.5 A financial business model underpinned by a culture of cost management, best value and strategic financial analysis that is subject to ongoing oversight, transparency and accountability.  5.6 Decision-making that is ethical, informed and inclusive.

## **Legal and statutory implications**

Section 5.98(2)(a) and (3) of the *Local Government Act 1995* provides for reimbursement of prescribed expenses to council members.

Regulation 31(1)(b) of the *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996* prescribe that travel costs incurred to attend council and committee meetings are to be reimbursed.

Regulation 32(1)(c) of the *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996* prescribe that a local government may approve the reimbursement of expenses incurred by a council member in performing a function in their capacity as a council member.

Section 7B(2) of the *Salaries and Allowances Act 1975* requires the Salaries and Allowances Tribunal to inquire into and determine the amount of expenses, or the minimum and maximum amounts of expenses, to be reimbursed pursuant to section 5.98(2)(a) and (3) of the *Local Government Act 1995*.

Regulation 8 of the *Local Government (Rules of Conduct) Regulations 2007* prescribes that a council member must not either directly or indirectly use the resources of a local government for any

purpose unless authorised under the Act, or authorised by the council or the CEO, to use the resources for that purpose.

Connection with mandates in the *City of Perth Act 2016*

8(1)(a) - to provide for the good government of persons in the City of Perth, including residents, ratepayers and visitors

### **Risk implications**

<b>Impact of decision</b>	
Organisation	Low
Community	Low

<b>Risk domain</b>	<b>Consequence</b>	<b>Likelihood</b>	<b>Risk rating</b>
Financial	Insignificant	Unlikely	Low
Legal and Regulatory/Ethical	Insignificant	Unlikely	Low
Reputation and External Stakeholders	Insignificant	Unlikely	Low

The recommendation represents a low risk.

### **Approval implications**

Should the draft Council Policy be approved for public consultation a final draft of the Council Policy will be prepared, to reflect community feedback, and submitted to Council for approval.

### **Financial implications**

The total annual service cost of providing a vehicle and driver for the Lord Mayor is estimated at \$90,000 per year. The new policy will provide a reduction in operating costs.

The cost of the public consultation process for this draft Council Policy is provided within the existing budget.

### **Policy references**

12.1 Council Vehicles – Lord Mayor and Employees.

10.6 Elected Members – Reimbursement of Expenses.

Policy Framework Policy

### **Comments**

The attached draft Council Policy - Motor Vehicle and Transport Services for the Lord Mayor sets out the Council's intent that the transport arrangements are effective, efficient and aligned with community expectations and industry standards.

## Recommendation

That Council:

1. ENDORSE the attached draft Council Policy – Motor Vehicle and Transport Services for the Lord Mayor detailed as Attachment 6.6A: and
2. APPROVES a 21-day community consultation on the draft Council Policy – Motor Vehicle and Transport Services as detailed in Attachment 6.6A.

## Item 6.7 – Council Policy Review

<b>File reference</b>	P1005611-3
<b>Report author</b>	Andrew Corke, Governance Coordinator
<b>Other contributors</b>	Bill Parker, General Manager Corporate Services Gary Clark, Acting Alliance Manager Governance
<b>Reporting Service Unit and Alliance</b>	Governance, Corporate Services
<b>Report author disclosure of interest</b>	Nil
<b>Date of report</b>	30 April 2020
<b>Nature of Council's role</b>	Executive
<b>Voting requirement</b>	Simple Majority
<b>Attachment/s</b>	Nil

### Purpose

The Governance unit has undertaken a review of all council policies and has recommended that each policy is either:

- a) Retained as a council policy;
- b) Re-classified as an executive policy; or
- c) Deleted.

The purpose of this report is for Council to consider these recommendations.

### Background

On 28 April 2020, Council adopted the Policy Framework council policy (policy framework). The policy framework ensures that elected members are effectively engaged with all aspects of policy development and review and appropriate levels of transparency and engagement with the community are undertaken.

The policy framework makes a clear delineation between council and executive policy. The policy framework defines a Council policy as a policy adopted by Council to provide the Chief Executive Officer with direction in respect to a particular matter requiring action. A Council policy guides the City's actions and decision making.

There are two types of Council policy, they are as follows:

- a) General Council Policy - A policy adopted by Council that is not statutory or legislative in nature. This includes Council 'directives' on general matters not specifically originating from a legislative head of power; and
- b) Legislative Policy - A policy that is either required by law or created to supplement the City's Local Planning Scheme or a City Local Law.

The policy framework defines an executive policy as a policy that governs the day-to-day operations of the City and does not require Council approval.

Following the adoption of the policy framework at the Ordinary Council Meeting on 28 April 2020, the Administration has commenced a review of council policies. The first step of the review is the assessment of all council policies as either Council or Executive, in accordance with the definitions outlined in the policy framework. This action has also been identified in the City's Corporate Recovery Implementation Plan (CRIP).

## Details

The Administration has undertaken a review of all council policies (save for the recently adopted policies policy framework and Strategy Development Policy) and recommended that they are either:

- a) Retained as a council policy;
- b) Re-classified as an executive policy; or
- c) Deleted.

The following tables outlines these recommendations.

<b>Table 1 - Recommendation - Retain as a council policy</b>
Freeman of the City
Freedom of Entry
Keys to the City
Honorary Citizenship
Public Art
Media - Media Statements and Press Statements
Coat of Arms (Council Crest) and City of Perth title - Use Of
Sending Delegations to External Bodies
Building Sites Adjacent to Forrest Place, Hay Street and Murray Street Malls
Safer Design
Affordable Housing
Environment Policy
Towards an Energy Resilient City
Heritage Rate Concession
Sponsorship of City of Perth Activities
Purchasing

<b>Table 1 - Recommendation - Retain as a council policy</b>
Code of Conduct
Council Member Allowance and Meeting Attendance Fees
Caretaker Policy - City of Perth Election
Legal Representation for Members and Employees
Council of Capital City Lord Mayors - Attendance
Sister City Relationships
World Energy Cities Partnership - Attendance at Meetings
Payments under Section 5.50 of the Local Government Act 1995
Appointment of Acting Chief Executive Officer
Extended Trading Permits
Approval of Events on Roads
Mobile Food Trading Policy
Protection and Enhancement of Open Space
Arts and Culture
Street Entertainment (Busking) Policy
Sponsorship and Grants
Donations
Risk Management
Resident On-Street Parking Policy
On Street Parking
Elected Members - Interstate and Overseas Travel and Expenses
Elected Members - Reimbursement of Expenses
City of Perth Common Seal and Document Signing Authority
Issue of Certificates and Permits under the Liquor Control Act 1988
Public Lighting
Community Waste Services
Outdoor Dining
Provision of Hospitality
Overdue Rates Policy
Commemorative Works
Naming of Reserves and Buildings
Asset Management Policy
Disposal of Property
Cultural Collections
Comment and Recommendations on Development Proposals referred to the City by Statutory Authorities
State Register of Heritage Places
Not Rateable Properties (Rate Exemptions) and Partial Rate Exemptions
Management of Investments
Budget Policies
Budget Variations

<b>Table 2 - Recommendation - Re-classify as an executive policy</b>
Graffiti Treatment
Service Complaint Policy
Recognition of Employees - Service Presentations
Staff Conference Attendance and Expenses
Erection of Signs - Service Clubs
Footpaths - Upgrading Of
Digital Rights Management Policy for 3D Models and Development Applications
Community Consultation
Community Participation
Electricity Supply - Undergrounding of Supply Cables
Circuses and the Use of Performing Animals
Contract Variations - Authority to incur a liability
Fixed Assets Financial Policy
Contributed Asset Policy
De-licensing and Under Age Events
Management of Private Use of Boat pens in Claisebrook Cove
Hire of City Banner and Flag Sites
Use of Feature Lighting - Council House and Trafalgar Bridge
Use of Council House Foyer for Events and Displays
Bud Lights in Street Trees
Recognising the Amenity Value of the City's Trees
Vehicle Crossovers - Specification
Co-location of Poles within the Road Reserve
Road Safety Audits
Stormwater Drainage Connections
Directional Signs within Road Reserves
Traffic Management within the Road Reserve
Building Sites Adjacent to Forrest Place, Hay Street and Murray Street Malls
Hay Street – Colonnading
Sight Line Truncations - ROW/Roads Intersection
Sight Line Truncations - Vehicle Crossovers

<b>Table 3 - Recommendation - Delete council policy</b>
Accessible Public Information
Recording Votes at Meetings of the Council and its Committees
Enterprise Performance Policy
Management of Leases
Delegates on Boards, Authorities and Committees
Elected Members – Administrative Support
Office Accommodation - Elected Members
Parking and Access to Council House - Councillors
Reimbursement of Expenses for Non-Council Committee Members
Council Vehicles - Lord Mayor and Employees
Website Content
Concerts at the WACA Ground

Annual Schedule of Council and Committee Meetings
Provision of Plaques at Official Openings
Lord Mayoral Invitations to Functions
Frequency and Recording of Council and Committee Minutes

## Stakeholder engagement

Internal stakeholder consultation was undertaken with representatives of all City alliances, commencing in 2018, regarding reviews of Council policies.

## Strategic alignment

### Strategic Community Plan

This item addresses the community's vision for the future and specifically the following Aspiration and Strategic Objective(s) contained in the Strategic Community Plan 2019 – 2029:

<b>Aspiration:</b>	Performance
<b>Strategic Objective:</b>	5.6 Decision making that is ethical, informed and inclusive.

It is recognised that all council policies must accord with the City's Strategic Community Plan (SCP).

Any recommended amendments to policies will be adhere to the strategies outlined in the SCP.

## Legal and statutory implications

Section 2.7 of the *Local Government Act 1995* provides that it is the role of council to determine the local government's policies.

### Connection with mandates in the *City of Perth Act 2016*

8(1)(a) - to provide for the good government of persons in the City of Perth, including residents, ratepayers and visitors

## Risk implications

Impact of decision	
Organisation	Low
Community	Low

Risk domain	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk rating
Legal and Regulatory/Ethical	Insignificant	Unlikely	Low
Reputation and External Stakeholders	Insignificant	Possible	Low

## Approval implications

If Council passes a resolution accepting the recommendation contained in this report, the Administration will immediately re-classify and delete the council policies as outlined in the details section of this report.

## Financial implications

There are no financial implications associated with this report.

## Policy references

All of the Council Policies referred to in this report are available on the City website at <https://www.perth.wa.gov.au/council/reports-and-important-documents/local-laws>.

## Comments

The Council Policy review provides an opportunity to refresh the City's council policies ensuring that they are relevant, contemporary and appropriate.

Recommendation
<p>That Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. <u>APPROVES</u> the classification of policies outlined in Tables 1 and 2; and</li><li>2. <u>APPROVES</u> the deletion of policies outlined in Table 3.</li></ol>

## Item 6.8 – National Redress Scheme

<b>File reference</b>	P1011308-3
<b>Report author</b>	Gary Clark, Acting Alliance Manager Governance
<b>Other contributors</b>	Emma Landers, Alliance Manager Community Services
<b>Reporting service unit and alliance</b>	Governance, Corporate Services
<b>Report author disclosure of interest</b>	Nil
<b>Date of report</b>	23 April 2020
<b>Nature of Council's role</b>	Executive
<b>Voting requirement</b>	Simple Majority
<b>Attachment/s</b>	Attachment 6.8A - Local Government Information Paper (December 2019)

### Purpose

### Key Issues

This item is for the City of Perth to:

- Note the background information and the WA Government's decision in relation to the National Redress Scheme;
- Note the key considerations and administrative arrangements for the City to participate in the National Redress Scheme;
- Acknowledges the leadership of the State Government and the Western Australian Local Government Association to facilitate local government participation in the National Redress Scheme;
- Formally approve the City's participation as part of the WA Government's declaration in the National Redress Scheme; and
- Grant authority to the Chief Executive Officer to execute a service agreement with the State, if a Redress application is received.

### Background

The Royal Commission into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse (Royal Commission) was established in 2013 to investigate failures of public and private institutions to protect children from sexual abuse. The Royal Commission released three reports throughout the inquiry:

- Working with Children Checks (August 2015);
- Redress and Civil Litigation (September 2015); and

- Criminal Justice (August 2017).

The Royal Commission's Final Report (15 December 2017) incorporated findings and recommendations of the three previous reports and contained a total of 409 recommendations, of which 310 are applicable to the Western Australian Government and the broader WA community.

The implications of the Royal Commission's recommendations are twofold: the first is accountability for historical breaches in the duty of care that occurred before 1 July 2018 within any institution; the second is future-facing, ensuring better child safe approaches are implemented holistically moving forward.

The scope of this report addresses only the historical element of institutional child sexual abuse through the National Redress Scheme.

All levels of Australian society (including the WA local government sector and the City) will be required to consider leading practice approaches to child safeguarding separately in the future.

### National Redress Scheme

The Royal Commission's *Redress and Civil Litigation (September 2015)* Report recommended the establishment of a single National Redress Scheme (the Scheme) to recognise the harm suffered by survivors of institutional child sexual abuse.

The Scheme acknowledges that children were sexually abused, recognises the suffering endured, holds institutions accountable and helps those who have been abused access counselling, psychological services, an apology and a redress payment.

The Scheme commenced on 1 July 2018, will run for 10 years and offers eligible applicants three elements of Redress:

- A direct personal response (apology) from the responsible institution, if requested;
- Funds to access counselling and psychological care; and
- A monetary payment of up to \$150,000.

All State and Territory Governments and many major non-government organisations and church groups have joined the Scheme.

The WA Parliament has passed the legislation for the Government and WA based non-government organisations to participate in the National Redress Scheme.

The Western Australian Government (the State) started participating in the Scheme from 1 January 2019.

Under the *National Redress Scheme for Institutional Child Sexual Abuse Act 2018* (Cth), local governments may be considered a State Government institution (Section 111(1)(b)).

A decision was made at the time of joining the Scheme to exclude WA local governments from the State Government's participation declaration. This was to allow consultation to occur with the sector about the Scheme, and for fuller consideration of how the WA local government sector could best participate.

## Details

Following extensive consultation, the State Government (December 2019):

- Noted the consultations undertaken to date with the WA local government sector about the National Redress Scheme;
- Noted the options for WA local government participation in the Scheme;
- Agreed to local governments participating in the Scheme as State Government institutions, with the State Government covering payments to the survivor; and
- Agrees to the Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries (DLGSC) leading further negotiations with the WA local government sector regarding local government funding costs, other than payments to the survivor including counselling, legal and administrative costs.

The following will be covered for local governments participating in the Scheme as a State Government institution and part of the State's declaration:

- Redress monetary payment provided to the survivor;
- Costs in relation to counselling, legal and administration (including the coordination of requests for information and record keeping in accordance with the *State Records Act 2000*); and
- Trained staff to coordinate and facilitate a Direct Personal Response (DPR – Apology) to the survivor if requested (on a fee for service basis with costs to be covered by the individual local government – see below for further explanation).

State Government financial support for local government participation in the Scheme, as set out, will ensure that Redress is available to as many WA survivors of institutional child sexual abuse as possible.

Individual local governments participating in the Scheme as a State Government institution, with the State will be responsible for:

- Providing the State with the necessary (facilities and services) information to participate in the Scheme;
- Resources and costs associated with gathering their own (internal) information and providing that information (Request for Information) to the State (if they receive a Redress application); and
- Costs associated with the delivery of a DPR (apology), if requested (based on a standard service fee, plus travel and accommodation depending on the survivor's circumstance). The State's decision includes that all requested DPR's will be coordinated and facilitated by the Redress Coordination Unit – Department of Justice, on every occasion.

The WALGA State Council meeting of 4 March 2020:

1. Acknowledged the State Government's decision to include the participation of Local Governments in the National Redress Scheme as part of the State's declaration;
2. Endorsed the negotiation of a Memorandum of Understanding and Template Service Agreement with the State Government, and
3. Endorsed by Flying Minute the Memorandum of Understanding prior to execution, in order to uphold requirements to respond within legislative timeframes.

The State and WALGA will sign a Memorandum of Understanding to reflect the principles of WA local governments participating in the Scheme as State Government institutions and being part of the State's declaration.

State agencies (led by DLGSC), WALGA and Local Government Professionals WA will support all local governments to prepare to participate in the Scheme from 1 July 2020 (or earlier, subject to completing the necessary arrangements).

The State's decision allows for the WA Government's Scheme participation declaration to be amended to include local governments and this report seeks endorsement of the City's participation in the Scheme.

As an independent entity and for absolute clarity, it is essential that the City formally indicates via a decision of Council, the intention to be considered a State Government institution (for the purposes on the National Redress Scheme) and be included in the WA Government's amended participation declaration. The City will not be included in the State's amended declaration, unless it formally decides to be included.

The financial and administrative coverage offered by the State will only be afforded to WA local governments that join the Scheme as a State Government institution, as part of the State's amended declaration.

## Considerations for the City

Detailed below is a list of considerations for the City to participate in the Scheme:

### 1. Executing a Service Agreement

All Royal Commission information is confidential, and it is not known if the City will receive a Redress application. A Service Agreement will only be executed if the City receives a Redress application.

The City needs to give authority to an appropriate position / officer to execute a service agreement with the State, if a Redress application is received. Timeframes for responding to a Request for Information are 3 weeks for priority applications and 7 weeks for non-priority applications. A priority application timeframe (3 weeks) will be outside most Council meeting cycles and therefore it is necessary to provide the authorisation to execute an agreement in advance.

### 2. Reporting to Council if / when an application is received

Council will be notified when a Redress application has been received. No confidential information will be in the notification, but Council be aware that an application has been received.

### 3. Application Processing / Staffing and Confidentiality

Administratively the City will determine:

- Which position(s) will be responsible for receiving applications and responding to Requests for Information;
- Support mechanisms for staff members processing Requests for Information.

The appointed person(s) will have a level of seniority in order to understand the magnitude of the undertaking and to manage the potential conflicts of interest and confidentiality requirements

### 4. Record Keeping

The State Records Office advised (April 2019) all relevant agencies, including Local Governments, of a 'disposal freeze' initiated under the *State Records Act 2000* (the Act) to protect past and current records that may be relevant to actual and alleged incidents of child

sexual abuse. The City's record keeping practices as a result, have been modified to ensure the secure protection and retention of relevant records. These records (or part thereof) may be required to be provided to the State's Redress Coordination Unit in relation to a Redress application.

The Redress Coordination Unit (Department of Justice) is the state record holder for Redress and will keep copies of all documentation and RFI responses. Local Governments will be required to keep their own records regarding a Redress application in a confidential and secure manner, and in line with all requirements in *The Act*.

## 5. Redress Decisions

The City should note that decisions regarding Redress applicant eligibility and the responsible institution(s), are made by Independent Decision Makers, based on the information received by the applicant and any RFI responses. The State Government and the City do not have any influence on the decision made and there is no right of appeal.

## **Stakeholder engagement**

The State, through the Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries (DLGSC), consulted with the WA local government sector and other key stakeholders on the Royal Commission into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse (in 2018) and the National Redress Scheme (in 2019).

The consultation throughout 2019 has focused on the National Redress Scheme with the aim of:

- raising awareness about the Scheme;
- identifying whether WA local governments are considering participating in the Scheme;
- identifying how participation may be facilitated; and
- enabling advice to be provided to Government on the longer-term participation of WA local governments.

Between March and May 2019, DLGSC completed consultations that reached 115 out of 137 WA local governments via:

- Webinars to local governments, predominately in regional and remote areas;
- Presentations at 12 WALGA Zone and Local Government Professional WA meetings;
- Responses to email and telephone enquiries from individual local governments.

It was apparent from the consultations local governments were most commonly concerned about the:

- potential cost of Redress payments;
- availability of historical information;
- capacity of local governments to provide a Direct Personal Response (apology) if requested by Redress recipients;
- process and obligations relating to maintaining confidentiality if Redress applications are received, particularly in small local governments;
- lack of insurance coverage of Redress payments by LGIS, meaning local governments would need to self-fund participation and Redress payments.

LGIS published and distributed an update (April 2019) regarding the considerations and (potential) liability position of the WA local government sector in relation to the National Redress Scheme.

The WALGA State Council meeting on 3 July 2019 recommended that:

1. *WA local government participation in the State’s National Redress Scheme declaration with full financial coverage by the State Government, be endorsed in principle, noting that further engagement with the sector will occur in the second half of 2019; and*
2. *WALGA continue to promote awareness of the National Redress Scheme and note that local governments may wish to join the Scheme in the future to demonstrate a commitment to the victims of institutional child sexual abuse.*

DLGSC representatives presented at a WALGA hosted webinar on 18 February 2020 and presented at all WALGA Zone meetings in late February 2020.

The State’s decision, in particular to cover the costs / payments to the survivor, has taken into account the feedback provided by local governments during the consultation detailed above.

## Strategic alignment

Strategic Community Plan

This item addresses the community’s vision for the future and specifically the following Aspiration and Strategic Objective(s) contained in the Strategic Community Plan 2019 – 2029:

<b>Aspiration:</b>	People
<b>Strategic Objective:</b>	1.3 Accessible and relevant community support services and playing a leading role with homelessness.

## Legal and statutory implications

The City in agreeing to join the Scheme, is required to adhere to legislative requirements set out in the *National Redress Scheme for Institutional Child Sexual Abuse Act 2018* (Cth).

Authorisation of an appropriately appointed person to execute a service agreement with the State, if a Redress application is received, will be in accordance with s.9.49A(4) of the *Local Government Act 1995*.

### Connection with mandates in the *City of Perth Act 2016*

8(1)(j) - to strike an appropriate balance among civic, economic, social, cultural and environmental considerations

## Risk implications

Impact of decision	
Organisation	Low
Community	Medium

Risk domain	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk rating
People	Moderate	Possible	Medium
Reputation and External Stakeholders	Moderate	Possible	Medium
Financial	Major	Possible	High

There would a medium risk, to community members and the City's reputation, and a high financial risk resulting from a Redress application if the Council chose not to participate in the State's National Redress Scheme declaration. By adopting the recommendation Council will be reduce the risk rating to low.

## Approval implications

The option exists for the City to formally decide not to participate in the Scheme (either individually or as part of the State's declaration).

Should the City formally decide (via a resolution of Council) not to participate with the State or in the Scheme altogether, considerations for the City include:

- Divergence from the Commonwealth, State, WALGA and the broader local government sector's position on the Scheme (noting the Commonwealth's preparedness to name-and-shame non-participating organisations);
- Potential reputational damage at a State, sector and community level; and

- Complete removal of the State’s coverage of costs and administrative support, with the City having full responsibility and liability for any potential claim.

Acknowledgement that the only remaining method of redress for a victim and survivor would be through civil litigation, with no upper limit, posing a significant financial risk to the City.

## **Financial implications**

The State’s decision will cover the following financial costs for local governments:

- Redress monetary payment provided to the survivor;
- Costs in relation to counselling, legal and administration (including the coordination or requests for information and record keeping); and
- Trained staff to coordinate and facilitate a Direct Personal Response (DPR – Apology) to the survivor if requested (on a fee for service basis with costs to be covered by the individual local government – see below).

The only financial cost the local government may incur will be the payment of the DPR’s, which is on an ‘as requested’ basis by the survivor. This will be based on the standard service fee of \$3,000 plus travel and accommodation depending on the survivor’s circumstances. All requested DPR’s will be coordinated and facilitated by the Redress Coordination Unit – Department of Justice.

The State’s decision also mitigates a significant financial risk to the local government in terms of waiving rights to future claims. Accepting an offer of redress has the effect of releasing the responsible participating organisation and their officials (other than the abuser/s) from civil liability for instances of sexual abuse and related non-sexual abuse of the person that is within the scope of the Scheme. This means that the person who receives redress through the Scheme, agrees to not bring or continue any civil claims against the responsible participating organisation in relation to any abuse within the scope of the Scheme.

### Within existing budget

There are no financial implications, associated with this report, for the existing 2019/20 budget.

Provisions may need to be made in the Long-Term Financial Plan and future budgets to fund any requested DPR’s from Redress applications for anyone who has been harmed through contact with the City’s services.

## **Policy references**

There are no policies relevant to this report.

## Comments

The State Government and WALGA have facilitated a sector wide approach to the National Redress Scheme. This will hopefully smooth the process for Redress applicants and minimise any further distress from their experiences. Participating local governments will benefit from professional and consistent processing of applications. It is recommended that Council acknowledge the leadership of the State Government and WALGA in negotiating this outcome for the sector.

### Recommendation

That Council:

1. APPROVES the participation of the City in the National Redress Scheme as a State Government institution and included as part of the State Government's declaration;
2. AUTHORISES the Chief Executive Officer to execute a service agreement with the State, if a Redress application is received, and;
3. ACKNOWLEDGE the leadership of the State Government and the Western Australian Local Government Association to facilitate local government participation in the National Redress Scheme.

## Item 6.9 – Reserve Cancellation - Raine Square Redevelopment – 300 (Lot 1159 Reserve 46594) Wellington Street, Perth

<b>File reference</b>	P1029122-2
<b>Report author</b>	Paul Warren, Senior Assets and Leasing Officer
<b>Other contributors</b>	Bill Parker, General Manager Corporate Services Kathleen O’Brien, Paralegal
<b>Reporting Service Unit and Alliance</b>	Governance, Corporate Services
<b>Report author disclosure of interest</b>	Nil
<b>Date of report</b>	4 May 2020
<b>Nature of Council’s role</b>	Executive
<b>Voting requirement</b>	Simple Majority
<b>Attachment/s</b>	Attachment 6.9A – Dept of Planning, Lands and Heritage Letter dated 19 April 2018 Attachment 6.9B – Reserve Location Plan

### Purpose

The purpose of this report is to action a formal request to cancel Reserve 46594 and to dedicate the Reserve as road per section 56 of the *Land Administration Act 1997*.

### Background

Reserve 46594 is located opposite the Raine Square development at 300 Wellington Street, Perth and the City of Perth is the Management Body responsible for it. The Reserve is indicated in red in Attachment 6.9B.

The Reserve is currently a Pedestrian Access Way. However, the pedestrian access bridge over this area of Wellington Street was removed in 2016. As a direct result of the bridge removal, Reserve 46594 is now redundant.

The City of Perth was approached by the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (DPLH) (see Attachment 6.9A) to facilitate the cancellation of Reserve 46594 and dedication of the area as road. The purpose of this request was to allow for DPLH to provide consent to Charter Hall’s balcony extensions that were created as part of the Raine Square Redevelopment.

## Details

In order to cancel the now redundant Reserve and dedicate the Reserve as road, Council must request this from the Minister for Lands in accordance with the *Land Administration Act 1997*. Upon receipt of Council's request, the Minister will determine the dedication and cancellation.

The dedication will allow DPLH to arrange a lease agreement to Charter Hall for the Royal Hotel Premises at Raine Square in order to provide tenure over the balconies which encroach into the road reserve. The balconies currently exist over the road reserve with no valid tenure.

## Stakeholder engagement

There has been no stakeholder engagement undertaken in relation to this report.

## Strategic alignment

### Strategic Community Plan

This item addresses the community's vision for the future and specifically the following Aspiration and Strategic Objective(s) contained in the Strategic Community Plan 2019 – 2029:

<b>Aspiration:</b>	Place
<b>Strategic Objective:</b>	2.9 Land use, transport and infrastructure planning that encourages and facilitates a wide range of public and private sector investment and development

Resolution of the land tenure issues will allow for the leasing of balcony encroachments associated with the development to be resolved effectively.

## Legal and statutory implications

Cancelling a Reserve is governed by section 51, "Cancelling, changing etc. reserves, Minister's powers as to". Section 51 of the *Land Administration Act 1997* provides that the Minister for Lands may cancel a reserve (subject to provisions that do not apply). The request to the Minister for the cancellation of the reserve will assist with the cancellation as the Minister is required to consult with local governments prior to exercising certain powers in relation to Crown land.

Section 56 of the *Land Administration Act 1997* "Dedication of land as road" and regulation 8 of the *Land Administration Regulations 1998* provides the process for requesting that the Minister dedicate land as road. The process requires that details of the Council resolution requesting the cancellation and a sketch of the land be provided to the Minister. Section 56 (4) of the *Land Administration Act 1997* requires an indemnity for the Minister for Lands against any potential claims for compensation that may arise from dedicating the subject land as road.

## Connection with mandates in the *City of Perth Act 2016*

8(1)(a) - to provide for the good government of persons in the City of Perth, including residents, ratepayers and visitors

### **Risk implications**

<b>Impact of decision</b>	
Organisation	Low
Community	Low

<b>Risk domain</b>	<b>Consequence</b>	<b>Likelihood</b>	<b>Risk rating</b>
Legal and Regulatory/Ethical	Minor	Unlikely	Low

The process is considered low risk to the City of Perth as Reserve 46594 no longer serves any purpose as the footbridge to which it served was demolished in 2016.

DPLH have requested an indemnity for the Minister for Lands against any potential claims for compensation that may arise from dedicating the subject land as road. This indemnity is required by the *Land Administration Act 1997*. As the bridge the Reserve served is no longer present, and the area already road only, the risk to the City of Perth of any potential claims for compensation is considered to be low.

### **Approval implications**

Council's request to cancel the redundant Reserve and dedicate the Reserve as road will facilitate the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage entering into a lease to resolve the balcony encroachment. If Council does not approve the request the redundant reserve will remain and the balcony encroachment will not be resolved.

### **Financial implications**

There are no financial implications related to this report.

### **Policy references**

There are no policy implications associated with this report.

### **Comments**

The proposal is supported administratively, and it is recommended that Council agrees to the request to cancel the redundant Reserve and dedicate the area as road reserve.

## Recommendation

That Council:

1. REQUEST that the Minister for Lands cancel Reserve 46594;
2. REQUEST that the Minister for Lands dedicate the cancelled Reserve as road pursuant to the provisions of section 56(1)(a) of the *Land Administration Act 1997*; and
3. INDEMNIFY the Minister for Lands against any claims for compensation that may arise from dedicating the subject land as road.

## Item 6.10 – Financial Contribution – Perth Concert Hall Temporary Works

<b>File reference</b>	P1028787-6
<b>Report author</b>	Bill Parker, General Manager Corporate Services
<b>Other contributors</b>	Nil
<b>Reporting Service Unit and Alliance</b>	Corporate Services
<b>Report author disclosure of interest</b>	Nil
<b>Date of report</b>	4 May 2020
<b>Nature of Council's role</b>	Executive
<b>Voting requirement</b>	Absolute Majority
<b>Attachment/s</b>	Nil

### Purpose

The purpose of this report is for Council to consider a financial contribution to temporary works at the Perth Concert Hall.

### Background

In January 2020, the City of Perth entered into an agreement with the Perth International Arts Festival to undertake temporary repair works at the Perth Concert Hall to make the site structurally safe for use by the Perth International Arts Festival to run the City of Lights music event.

In preparing for the event, it was identified that the lower southern concourse of the Concert Hall was weak at the slab joints and did not meet the 5kPa load requirements to cater for crowded events.

An engineering assessment subsequently identified a temporary structural solution.

In response to the engineering assessment, a legal agreement was executed in response to the structural issues that if left unresolved would have caused the events scheduled at the Concert Hall to be cancelled due to concerns over public safety.

The legal agreement addressed funding, liability issues and facilitated the installation of grillage (beams) across the slab joints and 15 props were installed under the slab to prop the weight of the planter box in the concourse.

This item seeks to amend the 2019/20 budget and authorise the expenditure associated with the temporary works.

## Details

In January 2020, the City of Perth entered into an agreement with the Perth International Arts Festival to undertake temporary repair works at the Perth Concert Hall to make the site structurally safe for use by the Perth International Arts Festival to run the City of Lights music event.

Prior to the event, it was agreed that the total cost to deliver the staging and structural works would be \$330,000. The Perth Festival were responsible for the stage construction (\$94,000) with the City of Perth responsible for resolving the structural components including propping and grillage (\$236,000). The agreement also reflected a series of offsets that included carpark bay hire and additional carpark revenue that did not fully materialise.

On 8 April 2020, the City of Perth received an email from the Executive Director of Perth Festival confirming the costs incurred and that the City's contribution would be \$234,000.

The individual elements of the invoice amounts have been assessed by the City of Perth and although the amounts vary from the original agreement, they all appear to be genuine and reasonable.

An allowance was made in the Capital Budget during the 2019/20 Budget Review to accommodate the costs of these temporary structural works. The nature of these repairs has now been ascertained as operating due to its temporary use.

It is worth noting that the 2020/21 draft budget includes funding to permanently resolve the structural issues at the Perth Concert Hall so that a temporary solution is no longer required. It is envisaged that this work will occur prior to the 2021 Perth Festival.

## Stakeholder engagement

Extensive consultation has occurred with the Perth Festival and the City's insurer.

## Strategic alignment

### Strategic Community Plan

This item addresses the community's vision for the future and specifically the following Aspiration and Strategic Objective(s) contained in the Strategic Community Plan 2019 – 2029:

<b>Aspiration:</b>	People
<b>Strategic Objective:</b>	1.6 Thriving and sustainable cultural, artistic and heritage industries, activities and events that encourage locals and visitors to come back for more

Without the City's agreement to fund the temporary works, the event was at risk of not proceeding. The successful delivery of the event reflects the City's focus on activities and events that encourage patronage in the CBD.

## Legal and statutory implications

Section 6.8 of the *Local Government Act 1995* applies to this item.

6.8. Expenditure from municipal fund not included in annual budget

- 1) A local government is not to incur expenditure from its municipal fund for an additional purpose except where the expenditure —
  - a. is incurred in a financial year before the adoption of the annual budget by the local government; or
  - b. is authorised in advance by resolution\*; or
  - c. is authorised in advance by the mayor or president in an

\* *Absolute majority required*

### Connection with mandates in the *City of Perth Act 2016*

8(1)(c) - to provide a safe, clean and aesthetic environment for the community, city workers, visitors and tourists

## Risk implications

Impact of decision	
Organisation	Low
Community	Low

Risk domain	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk rating
Financial	Minor	Unlikely	Low

Payment of the City's contribution is low risk. The City has capacity in the 2019/20 budget to cover the cost implications associated with the temporary works as this was already included in the budget review.

## Approval implications

Should Council endorse the officer recommendation, the invoice will be paid as quickly as possible.

Should Council decide not to approve the request, the City risks reputational damage and potential legal implications given the agreements already in place.

## Financial implications

The City's requested contribution to the temporary works is \$233,805 (ex GST) and comprises the following:

Supplier	Description	Invoiced amount ex GST
HERA Fees	Engineering Fees	\$12,020
Kennards Hire	Prop Hire	\$3,045
Johnson Group	Construction management	\$10,000
Johnson Group	Construction management	\$10,000
Mend	Scanning	\$3,110
Kwik Logistics	Crane Bump In	\$4,803
Kwik Logistics	Crane Bump In	\$7,730
Kwik Logistics	Crane Bump Out	\$6,210
City of Perth	Obstruction permit - Crane Access	\$3,522
City of Perth	Obstruction permit - Crane Access	\$151
City of Perth	Obstruction permit - Crane Access BUMP OUT	\$51
Big River	Timber	\$43,319
Big River	Timber	\$150
Midalia Steel	Steel	\$23,200
Midalia Steel	Steel	\$1,160
Midalia Steel	Steel	\$8,068
Bunnings	Consumables	\$2,292
Gecko	Transport Bump In	\$2,156
Gecko	Transport Bump Out	\$2,035
Gecko	Storage - Steel	\$1,364
SEAA	Security - Asset Protection	\$4,959
SEAA	Security - Asset Protection	\$4,195
Reeces	Floor hire	\$10,200
Perth Festival	Bump in Labour - Carpenters	\$8,664
Perth Festival	Bump in Labour - Site Crew, Cut Gluts etc	\$7,681
Perth Festival	Bump in Labour - Traffic Management	\$1,422
Perth Festival	Bump out Labour - Carpenters	\$8,006
Perth Festival	Bump Out Labour	\$11,704
Perth Festival	Labour - Project Management	\$30,000
PCH	PCH Facilities Access	\$1,300
Statewide	Transport Steel Beams	\$1,290
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$233,805</b>

The financial implications associated with this report will require a budget transfer from the 2019/20 Capital Budget to the 2019/20 Operating budget as follows:

<b>Account number:</b>	PJ 14181
<b>Description:</b>	Concert Hall - Structural Remediation Works
<b>Account type (Operating/Capital/Reserve):</b>	Capital
<b>Current budget:</b>	\$2,421,585
<b>Adjustment:</b>	-\$233,805
<b>Amended budget:</b>	\$2,187,780
<b>Impact on the budget position:</b>	Nil

As part of the City's 2019/20 Budget Review, an allowance was made in the Capital Budget to allow for temporary structural works at the Perth Concert Hall. Following the event, the works carried out have been ascertained as non-capital in nature, hence a budget transfer of \$233,805 is required from the Capital Budget to the Operating Budget for 2019/20. The impact to the City is Nil as this expenditure had already been accounted for.

### Policy references

There are no policy references related to this report.

### Comments

Nil

### Recommendation

That Council:

1. APPROVE the budget transfer of \$233,805 from the 2019/20 Capital Budget to the 2019/20 Operating budget; and
2. APPROVE payment to the Perth International Arts Festival of \$233,805 for temporary structural works associated with the Perth Concert Hall.

## Item 6.11 – Financial Activity Statement for the Period Ended 31 March 2020

<b>File reference</b>	P1014149-25
<b>Report author</b>	Neil Jackson, Acting Senior Management Accountant
<b>Other contributors</b>	Reshma Jahmeerbacus, Acting Manager Finance
<b>Reporting service unit and alliance</b>	Finance, Corporate Services
<b>Report author disclosure of interest</b>	Nil
<b>Date of report</b>	29 April 2020
<b>Nature of Council's role</b>	Executive
<b>Voting requirement</b>	Simple Majority
<b>Attachment/s</b>	Attachment 6.11A – Financial Statements and Financial Activity Statement for the Period Ended 31 March 2020

### Purpose

For Council to receive the Financial Activity Statement for the period ended 31 March 2020.

### Background

The Financial Activity Statement is submitted to Council as per the requirements of Section 6.4(1) and (2) of the *Local Government Act 1995 Regulation 34(1) of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations*.

### Details

The Financial Activity Statement commentary compares the actual results for the 9 months to 31 March 2020 with the budget approved by Council on 23 July 2019 and subsequent amendments on 24 September, 3 October 2019, 26 November 2019 and 31 March 2020.

### Stakeholder engagement

There is no stakeholder engagement related to this report.

### Strategic alignment

#### Strategic Community Plan

This item addresses the community's vision for the future and specifically the following Aspiration and Strategic Objective(s) contained in the Strategic Community Plan 2019 – 2029:

<b>Aspiration:</b>	Performance
<b>Strategic Objective:</b>	5.5 A financial business model underpinned by a culture of cost management, best value and strategic financial analysis that is subject to ongoing oversight, transparency and accountability.

## Legal and statutory implications

Section 6.4(1) and (2) of the *Local Government Act 1995 Regulation 34(1) of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations*

Connection with mandates in the *City of Perth Act 2016*

8(1)(d) - to continuously improve the services and facilities provided to the community, visitors and tourists

## Risk implications

Impact of decision	
Organisation	Low
Community	Low

Risk domain	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk rating
Financial	Minor	Unlikely	Low

## Approval implications

There are no approval implications to this report.

## Financial implications

There are no financial implications related to this report

## Policy references

There are no policy references related to this report.

## Comments

Nil

## Recommendation

That Council RECEIVES the Financial Activity Statement for the period ended 31 March 2020 detailed in Attachment 6.11A.

## Item 6.12 – Payments from Municipal Fund – April 2020

<b>File reference</b>	P1036562-9
<b>Report author</b>	Reshma Jahmeerbacus, Acting Manager Finance
<b>Other contributors</b>	Sarah Gosling, Senior Financial Accountant
<b>Reporting service unit and alliance</b>	Finance, Corporate Services
<b>Report author disclosure of interest</b>	Nil
<b>Date of report</b>	7 May 2020
<b>Nature of Council's role</b>	Executive
<b>Voting requirement</b>	Simple Majority
<b>Attachment/s</b>	A detailed list of payments made under delegated authority for the month ended 30 April 2020 can be accessed by the Commissioners via the Council Hub. Members of the public can access the list of payments on request.

### Purpose

In accordance with *Regulation 13(1) of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996*, the list of payments made under delegated authority for the month ended April 2020 to be received and recorded in the Minutes of the Council.

### Background

A monthly report that summarises the payments for the Municipal Account for the month April 2020 as required by Regulation 13(1) of the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996*.

### Details

Payments for the month of April 2020 included the following significant items, but exclude payroll and payroll taxation payments to the Deputy Commissioner of Taxation:

- \$711,834.69 to Western Australian Treasury (supplier 94510) for payment of the loan to the Western Australian Treasury for the Perth City Library and Public Plaza;
- \$691,168.62 to Densford Civil Pty Ltd (supplier 09508) for the Wellington Square Enhancement Project as follows – \$578,785.36 – Landscape and civil works
  - \$72,973.37 – Electrical works
  - \$39,409.99 – Irrigation works

- \$538,792.16 to Sundry Creditors EFT (Supplier 99991) for the refund of parking permits, venue hire and infringement notices refund due to Covid-19, also includes work bonds and City employee reimbursements of Healthy Lifestyle and Footcare Management eg:
  - \$94,432.00 – Icon Holding refund of duplicate payment of application fee BPC-2020/208;
  - \$82,763.64 – Western Power – Covid 19 rebound & recovery reduction -parking fees;
  - \$74,147.14 – Artrage Bond (\$40,000.00) and ticket sales (34,147.14) refund;
  - \$42,500 – Hoskins Contracting Pty Ltd – Work Bond refund – BPC-2019/50 Units 1-5/5 Ventnor Ave West Perth;
  - \$34,484.37 – Jones Lange LaSalle refund pro-rata credit 68 long term parking permits
  - \$28,870.97 – Rio Tinto Shared Services – refund balance 38 parking cards.
  
- \$485,875.59 to Department of Local Government, sport (supplier 08066) for the following:
  - \$165,000.00 – Art Basel Cities Perth grant;
  - \$123,413.69 – Recoup wages – Commissioner Andrew Hammond – 15 November 2019 to 5 March 2020;
  - \$123,413.69 – Recoup wages – Commissioner Gaye M McMath – 15 November 2019 to 5 March 2020;
  - \$74,048.21 – Recoup wages – Commissioner Leonard Kosova – 15 November 2019 to 5 March 2020;
  
- \$336,822.75 to Downer EDI Works (supplier 96425) for the following:
  - \$291,320.23 – Works St Georges Terrace to William Street;
  - \$22,328.82 – Loop reinstatements St Georges Terrace to William Street;
  - \$16,813.50 – Radar Survey/Coring - Newcastle Street; and
  - \$6,360.20 – Pavement testing – various.

## Stakeholder engagement

There is no stakeholder engagement related to this report.

## Strategic alignment

### Strategic Community Plan

This item addresses the community’s vision for the future and specifically the following Aspiration and Strategic Objective(s) contained in the Strategic Community Plan 2019 – 2029:

<b>Aspiration:</b>	Performance
<b>Strategic Objective:</b>	5.5 A financial business model underpinned by a culture of cost management, best value and strategic financial analysis that is subject to ongoing oversight, transparency and accountability.

## Legal and statutory implications

Regulation 13 (1) of the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996*

Connection with mandates in the *City of Perth Act 2016*

8(1)(d) - to continuously improve the services and facilities provided to the community, visitors and tourists

## Risk implications

There are no risk implications related to this report.

## Approval implications

There are no approval implications related to this report.

## Financial implications

There are no financial implications related to this report.

## Policy references

There are no policy implications related to this report.

### Recommendation

That in accordance with *Regulation 13(1) of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996*, the list of payments made under delegated authority for the month ended April 2020 be RECEIVED and recorded in Ordinary Council Meeting minutes, the summary of which is as follows:

FUND	PAID
Municipal fund	\$15,781,457.73
Trust fund	\$0.00
TOTAL	\$15,781,457.73

## Item 6.13 – COVID-19 Recovery and Rebound Activities

<b>File reference</b>	P1028787-6
<b>Report author</b>	Karin Strachan, Project Director Corporate Recovery
<b>Other contributors</b>	Covid-19 Recovery Coordination Team
<b>Reporting Service Unit and Alliance</b>	Strategy and Recovery, Chief Executive Officer Alliance
<b>Report author disclosure of interest</b>	Nil
<b>Date of report</b>	14 May 2020
<b>Nature of Council's role</b>	Executive
<b>Voting requirement</b>	Absolute Majority
<b>Attachment/s</b>	Attachment 6.13A – City of Perth Economic Rebound Strategy

### Purpose

This report is provided to seek approval for the City of Perth Covid-19 Economic Rebound Strategy which refers to:

1. A proposed list of Covid-19 operational projects for the 2020/21 financial year with a total value of \$17,995,000. The items on the list were determined through stakeholder consultation during the period 1 May to 10 May 2020. The list of priorities is contained in the strategy (Attachment 6.13A page 12) under the strategic objective 'Re-invent and Revive'.
2. A proposal for the establishment of a three-fold Advisory Committee structure for the City to consult key stakeholders on an ongoing basis over the next 12 months regarding their requirements for Recovery and Rebound in the City. This action is contained in the strategy (Attachment 6.13A page 11) under the Strategic objective 'Re-invent and Revive'. The proposed Advisory Committees are listed below and the composition of each is contained on page 19 of the strategy (Attachment 6.13).
  - Marketing and Promotion Advisory Committee
  - City Amenity Advisory Committee
  - Events, Culture and the Arts Advisory Committee
3. A request for a budget re-allocation of \$500,000 within the current (2019/20) financial year for immediate Recovery actions in the City with the focus of the imminent opening of businesses from Monday 18<sup>th</sup> May onwards. These actions are contained in the strategy (Attachment 6.13A page 9) under the Strategic objective 'Return and Recover'.

## **Background**

The business community has been impacted heavily by the onslaught of the Covid-19 pandemic over these past months, with a range of business sectors, including retail shops, hospitality providers (restaurants, bars, cafe's, nightclubs), tourism operators, accommodation, education and health services like gyms - required to close. Most small and medium sized businesses that could continue to operate had to reduce staff or at least staff hours. Many of these businesses reportedly face the risk of closure as they typically do not have the cash reserves to offset declining revenues experienced during Covid-19, many have also had to pivot business operations to the restrictions placed on their operations by the Federal or State Government (such as takeaways, home delivery, manufacturing new or different products etc).

The reduction of available jobs and the reduction in the payment of wages will have flow-on impacts to households and cause major financial stress to many members in the community.

The purpose of an Economic Rebound Strategy is to support Perth's community and businesses over the next three years, with a strong return to economic performance. Through the implementation of this strategy alongside the community, the City of Perth will aim to make it easier to do business in Perth, cut red tape and improve community outcomes.

Informed by key stakeholders, this strategy provides clarity on the City's activities that will be delivered to ensure the community, local business as well as visitors have the best opportunity to thrive in a landscape that has been altered significantly.

An Advisory Committee structure is recommended for ongoing collaboration and feedback from various sectors of the business community to ensure that the City delivers on these stakeholder expectations. The City's community is made up of the people that live, work and operate a business within its boundaries. Many of the City of Perth's community has had its livelihoods considerably impacted by the Covid-19 pandemic. To assist as much of the community as possible, the City developed a list of activities, both new and existing, to seek feedback on.

## Details

The Covid-19 Economic Rebound Strategy is focused around three key Strategic Objectives:

RETURN AND RECOVER	RE-INVENT AND REVIVE	RECALIBRATE AND REPEAT
<p>Help get Perth back up and running. Ease the community, businesses and City staff into the new 'normal' way of life; whereby people enjoy this great capital city safely. This will involve:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Enhancing cleaning practices</li><li>• Making it easy to do business</li><li>• Helping people feel safe</li><li>• Addressing homelessness and rough sleeping through key partnerships</li><li>• Supporting, marketing and promoting industry sectors and business success stories</li><li>• Returning vibrancy to the city.</li></ul>	<p>Innovate alongside our community and stakeholders to revive Perth as a destination of choice to visit, live, work, invest or study in. Then maximise this collaboration through continued engagement with stakeholders so City services, business support, activities and events meet mutual expectations.</p>	<p>Seek continued improvement by asking for, listening to and acting on stakeholder feedback and evaluation of the activities undertaken. Keep delivering services that are valued by our community and respond to changing needs of our stakeholders by recalibrating activities that no longer meet these needs.</p>

## Stakeholder engagement

The City sought the feedback of its key stakeholders on what is required to assist with the rebound of Perth's economy. Close to 250 surveys were sent to individuals representing tourism, hotels, property, arts, culture, retail, hospitality and the community. The survey was open for feedback between 1 May 2020 and 10 May 2020. A total of 74 valid responses were returned with feedback. This gives a 30 per cent response rate, which is well within the statistical requirements for a trustworthy sample. This is particularly appreciated, especially since feedback from stakeholders is that they are satisfied with the level of consultation. It is now time to jump into action.

The prioritised project list determined as per stakeholder feedback, with suggested cost allocations can be seen below:

**Table 1**

<b>Prioritisation of projects as per the Stakeholder Feedback: Keep doing / Do more</b>	<b>Cost allocation</b>	<b>Keep doing / Do more</b>	<b>Do Less / Don't Do</b>
Cleaning and presentation of the city	\$1,000,000	71	3
Support and advocacy for people experiencing or at risk of homelessness; including the co-ordination of services and support networks	\$1,900,000 (Re-allocation from Skyworks)	70	4
Programs and initiatives for young people and youth at risk	\$100,000	69	7
Security, surveillance and community safety programs for the city	\$1,200,000	68	6
Grants for small to medium businesses to help them survive and rebound after the pandemic	\$1,200,000	67	7
Marketing campaign to support retail sector	Incl. in Marketing budget	65	9
Programs and initiatives for people living with disability	\$100,000	65	9
Marketing campaign to support small business sector	Incl. in Marketing budget	65	9
Grants to support arts and cultural sector rebound and recovery	\$1,400,000	63	11
Marketing of Perth as a visitor destination	Incl. in Marketing budget	61	13
Marketing campaign to promote Perth as safe and open	\$2,800,000	61	13
Grants to support third-party activation and events post COVID	\$920,000	60	14
Perth Home Grown Markets	\$50,000	60	14
Twilight Hawkers Markets	\$50,000	59	15
Grants for community groups and programs	\$65,000	58	16
School holiday events	Incl. in Programs for Families	58	16
Marketing of Perth as a business investment destination	Incl. in Marketing budget	58	16
Grants to neighbourhood groups to support grass roots initiatives	\$80,000	57	16
Capacity building initiatives for community organisations and groups	\$100,000	57	17
Grants to support lane-way activation and upgrades and locational precinct improvements	\$240,000	55	19
Grants for building improvement projects (e.g.Heritage Building Adaptive Reuse, Underutilised space and Business Improvement Grants)	\$440,000	54	20
Christmas Lights Trail/similar significant post COVID activation trail	\$1,000,000	52	22
Business development and support workshops and sessions	\$150,000	52	22
City managed activations in key retail precincts	Incl. in Programs for Families	51	23
Christmas decorations, other recovery actions, East End Revitalisation etc	\$4,100,000		
<b>Total Operating Project expenditure for 2020/2021</b>	<b>\$17,995,000</b>		

Through the stakeholder feedback, a list of items was also identified for immediate action over the next four to six weeks that would assist with recovery as businesses start the process of opening again. This report seeks approval for a \$500,000 budget amendment for these items to be implemented. The items are listed below in table 01

**Table 2: Discretionary Covid-19 projects for implementation before end June 2020**

	Cost
Expedite completion of the "by name" list for people experiencing homelessness within the City of Perth to enable more effective support	\$40,000
Assertive outreach	\$65,000
Visual City ambassador program for existing iCity kiosk volunteers and roving ambassadors	\$10,000
Install a sign in Northbridge to 'announce' the precinct for visitors (To be erected on William Street)	\$40,000
Increase security patrols	\$50,000
Window decals to increase City vibrancy	\$10,000
Expand the "Open for business" campaign	\$85,000
Small business grants	\$50,000
Moore street scaping	\$50,000
Hay Street Mall upgrade	\$50,000
Short term works for the malls	\$50,000
	<b>\$500,000</b>

A three-fold Advisory Committee structure is recommended for the City to consult key stakeholders on an ongoing basis over the next 12 months regarding their requirements for Recovery and Rebound in the City. The proposed Advisory Committees and their structures are listed below:

Marketing and Promotion Advisory Committee		City Amenity Advisory Committee		Events, Arts and Culture Advisory Committee	
Dexus	Brooke Boardman	Property Council	Sandra Brewer	Chamber of Arts and Culture	Magadza Shelagh
CBRE	Cassandra Monaghan	Ruah Community Services and WAAEH	Deb Zanella	Perth Festival	Nathan Bennet
ISPT/JLL	Miri Lackovic	Mustang Bar	Mike Keller	Fringe	Sharon Burgess
Charter Hall	Megan Biddle	Campus Perth	Tony Chisholm	Committee for Perth	Marion Fulker
Brookfield Properties	Angela Tham	WA Police	Inspector Darren Hart	Northbridge Common	Johnny Doan
Assembly + Co	Kristi Dempster	Wilson's Management Solutions	Les Wilson	Activate Perth	Kylie Taylor
Activate Perth	Kylie Taylor	City of Perth Western Residents Inc.	Anna Vanderbom	Historic Heart	Sandy Anghie
Sneakers and Jeans	Andy Freeman	East Perth Community Group	Anne Maree Ferguson	Tourism WA	Kym Francesconi
Pan Pacific Hotel	Rob Wheedon	City of Perth I&O Alliance	Chris Kopec Jason Tan Martin Copeman	Cultural Advisory Committee Members - Maximum of 5, to be self-nominated	Barbara Bynder (key contact)
Leach Group	Jeff Leach				
City of Perth	Ben Fitzpatrick (Lead)	City of Perth Community Development Alliance	Emma Landers (Lead) Konrad Seidl Alyce Higgins Scott Teyment	City of Perth	Tabitha McMullan (Lead)
City of Perth	Daniel High			City of Perth	Daniel High

## Strategic alignment

### Strategic Community Plan

This item addresses the community's vision for the future and is aligned with all the Aspirations of our Strategic Community Plan 2019 – 2029.

<b>Aspiration:</b>	Prosperity
<b>Strategic Objective:</b>	Attract and support new and existing business to create a CBD retail experience that is superior to suburban competition

The strategy delivers across multiple areas of the City's Strategic Community Plan, and will impact the delivery all six the Aspirations (People, Place, Planet, Prosperity, Performance, Partnership) in various ways.

## Legal and statutory implications

There are no legal or statutory implications related to this report.

### Connection with mandates in the *City of Perth Act 2016*

8(1)(b) - to represent the community and encourage community participation in decision-making

## Risk implications

Impact of decision	
Organisation	Medium
Community	High

The following risks assessment has been conducted based on this report:

Risk domain	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk rating
Financial	Moderate	Unlikely	Medium
Reputation and External Stakeholders	Minor	Possible	Medium

The approval of the recommendations within this report carry a medium level risk to the Financial, Reputational and External Stakeholder risk categories.

## Approval implications

A negative impact on City reputation could result from Council not approving this report's recommendation, given the collaboration efforts with the community.

## Financial implications

It is expected that the City will allocate approximately \$18,000,000 to the implementation of agreed activities and projects to support the community on reducing Covid-19 impacts. This will be funded as part of the City's operating budget for the 2020/21 financial year.

In terms of the discretionary Covid-19 projects for implementation before the end of this financial year, it is recommended that these projects are funded from the Targeted Cost Savings Initiative undertaken in November 2019.

## Comments

Nil

### Recommendation

That Council:

1. ENDORSE the City of Perth Economic Rebound Strategy outlined in Attachment 6.13A.
2. APPROVES the list of Operational Activities and Projects outlined in Table 1 for inclusion into the annual planning and budget process;
3. APPROVES the Draft Rebound Advisory Committees, including membership and Terms of Reference;
4. APPROVES a budget re-allocation of \$500,000 from the Targeted Cost Saving Initiative for immediate Recovery actions as outlined in Table 2.